EMFF Operational Programme 2014-2020 Marine Biodiversity Scheme

Marine Institute Bird Studies

Winter Waterbird Survey

Bannow Bay SPA, County Wexford.

2021-2022

Lead Agency: Marine Institute. Authors: INIS Environmental Consultants Ltd.





An Roinn Talmhaíochta, Bia agus Mara Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine



EUROPEAN UNION This measure is part-financed by the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund



Foras na Mara Marine Institute

Operational Programme	European Maritime and Fisheries Fund (EMFF) Operational Programme 2014-2020
Priority	Union Priority 1 Sustainable Development of Fisheries Union Priority 6 Fostering the implementation of the Integrated Maritime Policy
Thematic Objective	TO 6 - Preserving and protecting the environment and promoting resource efficiency
Specific Objective	 UP1 SO1 - Reduction of the impact of fisheries and aquaculture on the marine environment, including the avoidance and reduction, as far as possible, of unwanted catch. UP1 SO2 - Protection and restoration of aquatic biodiversity and ecosystems. UP6 SO1 - Development and implementation of the Integrated Maritime Policy
Measure	Marine Biodiversity Scheme
Project No.	MB/2019/08
EMFF Certifying Body	Finance Division, Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine
Managing Authority	Marine Agencies & Programmes Division, Department of Agriculture, Food and Marine
Specified Public Beneficiary Body	Marine Institute
Grant Rate	100%
EU Co-Financing Rate	50%
Legal Basis	Article 29, 40 & 80 EMFF
Details	Report to the Marine Institute Inís.

This project or operation is part supported by the Irish government and the European Maritime & Fisheries Fund as part of the EMFF Operational Programme for 2014-2020











Although every effort has been made to ensure the accuracy of the material contained in this publication, complete accuracy cannot be guaranteed. Neither the Marine Institute nor the author accepts any responsibility whatsoever for loss or damage occasioned, or claimed to have been occasioned, in part or in full as a consequence of any person acting or refraining from acting, as a result of a matter contained in this publication. All or part of this publication may be reproduced without further permission, provided the source is acknowledged.

Notice

This report was produced by INIS Environmental Consultants Ltd. (INIS) on behalf of the Marine Institute, the client, for the specific purpose of assessing wintering bird populations in Bannow Bay SPA, Co. Wexford, with all reasonable skill, care and due diligence within the terms of the contract with the client, incorporating our terms and conditions and taking account of the resources devoted to it by agreement with the client.

This report may not be used by any person other than the Marine Institute, the client, without the client's express permission. In any event, INIS accepts no liability for any costs, liabilities or losses arising as a result of the use of or reliance upon the contents of this report by any person other than the client.

This report is confidential to the client and INIS accepts no responsibility of whatsoever nature to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

© INIS Environmental Consultants Ltd., 2022

Quality Assurance

Copyright Inis Environmental Consultants Ltd.

The findings outlined within this report and the data we have provided are to our knowledge true and express our bona fide professional opinions. This report has been prepared and provided in accordance with the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM) good practice guidelines. Where pertinent CIEEM Guidelines used in the preparation of this report include the *Guidelines for Ecological Report Writing* (CIEEM, 2017a), *Guidelines for Preliminary Ecological Appraisals* (CIEEM, 2017b) and *Guidelines for Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK and Ireland. Terrestrial, Freshwater, Coastal and Marine,* (CIEEM, 2019). CIEEM Guidelines include model formats for Preliminary Ecological Appraisal and Ecological Impact Assessment. Also, where pertinent, evaluations presented herein take cognisance of recommended Guidance from the EPA such as Draft Guidelines on the information to be contained in Environmental Impact Assessment *Reports* (EPA, 2017), and in respect of European sites, *Managing Natura 2000 sites. The provisions of Article 6 of the 'Habitats' Directive 92/43/EEC* (European Commission, 2018).

Due cognisance has been given at all times to the provisions of the *Wildlife Acts, 1976-2021, the European Communities* (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011-2021, EU Regulation on Invasive Alien Species under EU Regulation 1143/2014, the EU Birds Directive 2009/147/EC and Habitats Directive 92/43/EEC.

No method of assessment can completely remove the possibility of obtaining partially imprecise or incomplete information. Any limitation to the methods applied or constraints however are clearly identified within the main body of this document.

Version	Date		Name	Signature				
1	02/07/2022	Report prepared by:	Dr Lesley Lewis BSc PhD MCIEEM	Allow.				
1	05/07/2022	Report checked by:	Dr. Alex Copland BSc PhD MIEnvSc	Alu. J. Coff.				
1	14/07/2022	Report signed off by:	Howard Williams BSc CEnv MCIEEM CBiol MRSB MIFM					
Title		Bannow Bay Waterbird	annow Bay Waterbird Survey: Winter 2021-22 Bird Survey Report					

Table of Contents

1.	Intr	oduction	3
	1.1.	Constraints and Limitations	3
	1.2.	Statement of Authority	3
2.	Exis	sting Environment	
	2.1.	Site Description	
	2 2		
	2.2. 2.2.	Bannow Bay waterbirds 1. Waterbird Special Conservation Interests (SCIs)	
3.	Ме	thodological Approach	
	3.1.	Background to the low tide survey programme	8
	3.2.	Survey design and count area	8
	3.3.	Field survey methods	10
	3.4.	Data analysis	11
	3.4.	1. General	11
	3.4.	2. Waterbird distribution	11
	3.4.	3. Trends	12
4.	Res	ults	13
	4.1.	Survey schedule and conditions	13
	4.2.	Species assemblage, diversity and occurrence	13
	4.3.	Total numbers of waterbirds	17
	4.4.	Species totals	
	4.5.	Trends in waterbird numbers	
	4.6.	Subsite Totals	
	4.7.	Waterbird Distribution	
	4.8.	Waterbird distribution and statis – species summaries	
	4.8.		
	4.8.		
	4.8.		
	4.8.		
	4.8.		
	4.8.	6. Grey Plover	33
	4.8.	7. Lapwing	33
	4.8.	8. Knot	33
	4.8.	9. Dunlin	34
	4.8.	10. Black-tailed Godwit	34
	4.8.		
	4.8.	12. Curlew	35
	4.8.	13. Redshank	35
5.	Dise	cussion	36
6.	Ref	erences	38

Appendix A: Bannow Bay SPA and Bannow Bay SAC Site Synopses Appendix B: Monthly Subsite Count Data

1. INTRODUCTION

INIS Environmental Consultants Ltd. (INIS) were contracted to co-ordinate a series of waterbird surveys at Bannow Bay, Co. Wexford during the 2021/22 winter season. Following standard methodology used for surveying wintering waterbirds at low tide (Lewis & Tierney, 2014), the surveys included four low tide surveys and a single high tide survey. This report details the results of this survey programme. The results are examined and discussed in light of similar surveys undertaken during recent previous winter seasons, and a baseline low tide survey undertaken during 2009/10 as part of the National Parks & Wildlife Service (NPWS) Waterbird Survey Programme (NPWS, 2012).

1.1. Constraints and Limitations

There are a number of limitations inherent to field-based surveying. These particularly relate to availability of suitable weather conditions for completing surveys, with good visibility and little wind or rain of paramount importance. As such, when undertaking and completing fieldwork, careful consideration and planning is made to ensure optimal weather conditions during survey periods. The data presented here were all collected in optimal weather conditions.

When counting shorebirds, disturbance can substantially impact on the birds present within small areas if they are able to disperse away from the source of disturbance to adjacent areas of similar habitat but out with the areas where surveying is taking place. Such disturbance may happen in advance of the count taking place or during the survey period. To gauge levels of disturbance Best Practice methods include an assessment of disturbance levels encountered during the recording period. Such an assessment of disturbance allows the likely impact on shorebird numbers and distribution to be determined, particularly when looking at likely response to different disturbance events. Details of recorded disturbance are therefore provided.

Constraints and any limitations to available datasets used for comparative analysis are presented where known.

1.2. Statement of Authority

Dr. Lesley Lewis BSc PhD MCIEEM is a specialist waterbird ecologist and wrote this report. Lesley has a first-class honours degree in Zoology and a PhD in waterbird ecology (PhD Title: Ecological disturbance and its effects on estuarine benthic invertebrate communities and their avian predators).

Lesley has run the ecological consultancy 'Limosa Environmental' for the past 18 years. Lesley acts as Project Manager for each contract and over the years has gained considerable experience working on a range of contracts including Environmental Impact Assessments, Ecological Assessments (EcIA), Stage I Screening for Appropriate Assessment and Natura Impact Statements (NIS).

In addition, Lesley has worked part-time for BirdWatch Ireland since 2009, and from 2009 to 2014 was contracted to the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) as a Waterbird Ecologist. In this role, Lesley was responsible for the design and implementation of the NPWS baseline low tide waterbird survey programme and the preparation of site-specific Conservation Objectives for 32 coastal SPA sites. This work culminated in the publication of standard low-tide survey methods for waterbirds

(Lewis & Tierney, 2014). After November 2014, Lesley was engaged in a number of BirdWatch Ireland projects including various aspects of the Irish Wetland Bird Survey (I-WeBS), as well as work on forestry birds, seabirds and the Hen Harrier. In 2015 she was assistant project manager on the Seabird4 Survey (survey of cliff-nesting seabirds 2015, NPWS). From September 2017, Lesley took over the project management of both the Irish Wetland Bird Survey (I-WeBS) and the Countryside Bird Survey (CBS). She manages a team of four and is responsible for the delivery of these projects for the National Parks and Wildlife Service.

Dr Alex Copland BSc PhD MIEnvSc is Technical Director with INIS and reviewed this report. He has over 25 years of professional experience working in both statutory and private companies, in third-level research institutions and with environmental NGOs. He is proficient in experimental design and data analysis and has managed several large-scale, multi-disciplinary ecological projects. These have included research and targeted management work for species of conservation concern, the design and delivery of practical conservation actions with a range of stakeholders and end-users, education and interpretation on the interface between people and the environment and the development of coordinated, strategic plans for birds and biodiversity.

He has written numerous scientific papers, developed and contributed to evidence-based position papers, visions and strategies on birds and habitats in Ireland. He has supervised the successful completion of research theses for several post-graduate students, including doctoral candidates. He lectures to both undergraduate and post-graduate students at UCD, as well as being a collaborative researcher with both UCD and UCC. He also sits on the Editorial Panel of the scientific journal, Irish Birds, which publishes original ornithological research relevant to Ireland's avifauna.

Mr Howard Williams MCIEEM CEnv CBiol MRSB MIFM is Lead Ecologist with Inis and reviewed and signed off on this report. He has more than 20 years' experience as a professional ecologist, specialising in birds. Following his degree, he worked as a biologist for the ESB for three years (1997-2000). Mr Williams has completed in excess of 500 separate ecology assessments in Ireland and the UK since 2000. Mr Williams is a full member of the Chartered Institute of Ecology and Environmental Management (CIEEM). He is a Chartered Environmentalist (CEnv) with the Society for the Environment (Soc Env) and a Chartered Biologist (CBiol) with the Society of Biology. He is also a full member of the Institute of Fisheries Management. Mr Williams is principal ecologist with INIS Environmental Consultants Ltd and currently project manager on all INIS projects in the Republic of Ireland and the UK.

2. EXISTING ENVIRONMENT

2.1. Site Description

Bannow Bay in County Wexford is a large and sheltered estuarine system located on the southeast coast of Ireland on the east side of the Hook Peninsula, seven miles northeast of Hook Head Lighthouse (see **Figure 2.1.1**). The bay is approximately 14 km along its northeast/south-west axis and has an average width of about 2 km (NPWS, 2012). The bay is relatively isolated with the surrounding landscape dominated by agricultural land and the main nearby settlements are Wellingtonbridge, at the estuary head, and Saltmills to the south-west, both relatively small villages. Fethard-on-Sea lies at the south-eastern extremity of the bay and is a small fishing village and holiday resort (NPWS, 2012).

At low tide, extensive intertidal mud and sand flats are exposed within Bannow Bay. Saltmarsh is welldeveloped in the sheltered inner parts of the site while some freshwater habitats occur at the northern end of the site (mosaic of marsh, reedbed and willows). These collectively provide good habitats for wintering waterbirds and Bannow Bay is one of the most important sites for non-breeding (wintering) waterbirds in south-east Ireland. Consequently, the bay is designated as a Special Protection Area (SPA) under the EU Birds Directive (2009/147/EC)¹ and 13 waterbird species are listed as Special Conservation Interests (SCIs) for this site. Bannow Bay is also a designated Special Area of Conservation (SAC; Site Code 00697) under the EU Habitats Directive². The SPA and SAC site synopses are given in **Appendix A**.

2.2. Bannow Bay waterbirds

2.2.1. Waterbird Special Conservation Interests (SCIs)

Bannow Bay SPA (**see Figure 2.1.1**) covers a total area of 1,364ha and is of special conservation interest for 13 waterbird species (see **Table 2.2.1**), two of which (Light-bellied Brent Goose and Black-tailed Godwit) have occurred in numbers of international importance in the past. In addition to the 13 waterbird SCI species, a further 15 species occur regularly at the site during winter (NPWS, 2012):

Wigeon (Anas penelope)	Teal (Anas
Mallard (Anas platyrhynchos)	Red-breas
Cormorant (Phalacrocorax carbo)	Little Egre
Grey Heron (Ardea cinerea)	Ringed Plo
Greenshank (Tringa nebularia)	Turnstone
Black-headed Gull (Chroicocephalus ridibundus)	Common
Lesser Black-backed Gull (Larus fuscus)	Herring G
Great Black-backed Gull (Larus marinus)	

Teal (Anas crecca) Red-breasted Merganser (Mergus serrator) Little Egret (Egretta garzetta) Ringed Plover (Charadrius hiaticula) Turnstone (Arenaria interpres) Common Gull (Larus canus) Herring Gull (Larus argentatus)

¹ Directive 2009/147/EC on the conservation of wild birds (the codified version of Council Directive 79/409/EEC as amended). ² Council Directive 92/43/EEC on the conservation of natural habitats and wild flora and fauna, as amended by Council Directive 97/62/EC. The Directive was transposed into Irish law by the European Communities (Natural Habitats) Regulations, SI 94/1997 which were amended and later consolidated by the European Communities (Birds and Natural Habitats) Regulations 2011 – 2015 (S.I. 355/2015).




Figure 2.1.1: Location of Bannow Bay SPA, Co. Wexford including the boundary of Bannow Bay SPA (source: NPWS, 2012)

Special Conservation Interests	Baseline Population ^a	Population status at baseline ^c	Status under BoCCl4 ^d
Light-bellied Brent Goose Branta bernicla hrota	561	International Importance	Amber
Shelduck Tadorna tadorna	500	All-Ireland Importance	Amber
Pintail Anas acuta	52	All-Ireland Importance	Amber
Oystercatcher Haematopus ostralegus	711	All-Ireland Importance	Red
Golden Plover <i>Pluvialis</i> apricaria ^b	1,955	All-Ireland Importance	Red
Grey Plover Pluvialis squatarola	142	All-Ireland Importance	Red
Lapwing Vanellus vanellus	2,950	All-Ireland Importance	Red
Knot Calidris canutus	508	All-Ireland Importance	Red
Dunlin Calidris alpina	3,038	All-Ireland Importance	Red
Black-tailed Godwit <i>Limosa</i> <i>limosa</i>	546	International Importance	Red
Bar-tailed Godwit <i>Limosa</i> <i>lapponica^b</i>	471	All-Ireland Importance	Red
Curlew Numenius arquata	891	All-Ireland Importance	Red
Redshank Tringa totanus	377	All-Ireland Importance	Red

Table 2.2.1: Waterbird Special Conservation Interest (SCI) species listed for Bannow Bay SPA

^aFive year peak mean for the period 1995/96-1999/00 (Source: NPWS, 2012).

^bAnnex I species.

^cNumbers of all-Ireland importance (Baseline: after Crowe & Holt, 2013); numbers of international importance (Baseline: after Wetlands International, 2012).

^dStatus under Birds of Conservation Concern 4 (BoCCI4) (after Gilbert et al. 2021).

3. METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH

3.1. Background to the low tide survey programme

The Irish Wetland Bird Survey (I-WeBS) is the primary method by which data are collected for wintering waterbird populations at Irish wetland sites (Lewis et al., 2019). These data, largely collected by volunteer field surveyors since the winter season of 1994/95, have underpinned the designation of Special Protection Areas (SPAs), and have enabled the production of waterbird population estimates and trends at national and at site level (e.g. Crowe & Holt, 2013; Burke et al., 2019; Lewis et al., 2019; Kennedy et al., 2022). I-WeBS surveys are undertaken primarily on a rising or high tide, when birds are pushed closer to shore or are gathering at roost sites and are therefore easier to count than when widely distributed across exposed tidal flats.

While I-WeBS surveys are designed to obtain the most accurate peak counts of waterbirds at a site, they cannot provide information about waterbird abundance or distribution during the low tide period, when many waterbirds are feeding. This gap in knowledge was addressed somewhat in 2009/10, when the National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) initiated a programme of low tide surveys which took place over the three winter seasons of 2009/10, 2010/11 and 2011/12 at 32 coastal SPAs (The NPWS Waterbird Survey Programme). Each SPA site was surveyed in a single winter season and Bannow Bay was surveyed in 2009/10. Standard methodology was designed to ensure consistency in data capture and recording at each site (Lewis & Tierney, 2014).

Waterbird surveys at Bannow Bay during the 2021/22 winter season therefore followed the standard methodology developed by the NPWS waterbird survey programme. Similar surveys were also undertaken during the seven previous winter seasons (2014/15, 2015/16, 2016/17, 2017/18, 2018/19, 2019/20 and 2020/21).

3.2. Survey design and count area

During winter 2021/22, a standard survey programme of four low tide counts and one high tide count was undertaken. Optimum dates were chosen in each month when the survey period spanned midday to facilitate travel to/from the site, but also to ensure surveys were carried out in the best weather and light conditions. Low tide surveys were carried out on 25th October, 8th November and the 6th December 2021, and the 3rd March 2022, this latter date being the 'February count' that was moved into early March due to unsuitable weather during February. No limitation of data collected associated with the February survey being undertaken in March, is considered likely. The high tide survey was undertaken on 13th January 2022.

The surveys covered the same count area and count subdivisions (subsites) of Bannow Bay as used during the 2009/10 NPWS Waterbird Survey Programme. The survey area covering *c*. 1,400 ha was subdivided into eight count subsites (**Table 3.2.1**; **Figure 3.2.1**) which were counted by three fieldworkers on each survey day. The 2020/21 season fieldworkers were Barry O'Mahony (BOM), Donncha Ó Catháin (DOC), Mark Shorten (MS), Sam Bailey (SB) and Alex Copland (AC); all highly experienced bird surveyors.

Table 3.2.1:	Count Subsites of Bannow Bay
Subsite Code	Subsite Name
00410	Fethard Bay
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown
00416	Kiltra
00417	Clonmines Castle
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge
00489	Pollfur




Figure 3.2.1: Count subsites used for the Bannow Bay waterbird surveys.

3.3. Field survey methods

The survey period on each day extended from two hours either side of low or high tide (depending on the survey being undertaken). Waterbirds were counted within each count subsite, and the data for each subsite were recorded separately. Waterbird counts were conducted on the 'look-see' basis (Bibby *et al.,* 2000) which involves scanning across the survey area and counting all birds seen. Birds were recorded according to their species code following the two-letter coding system used by I-WeBS and developed by the British Trust for Ornithology.

In addition to counts of each species, the behaviour of waterbirds during counts was attributed to one of two categories (foraging or roosting/other) while the position of the birds was recorded as per one of four broad habitat types (intertidal, subtidal, supratidal and terrestrial). Field maps of count subsites were used to map significant flocks of foraging/roosting birds ('flock maps').

Information on the presence of activities that could cause disturbance to waterbirds was also recorded. Following Lewis & Tierney (2014), activity types were categorised as follows:

(1) human, on-foot - shoreline (2) human, on foot – intertidal aquaculture, (3) bait-diggers (4) non-powered watercraft (5) powered watercraft, (6) water-based recreation (e.g. wind-surfers) (7) horse-riding (8) dogs (9) aircraft (10) shooting (11) other (12) winkle pickers (13) aquaculture machinery (14) other vehicles.

When an activity was observed to cause a disturbance, the waterbird species affected were recorded and a letter code system used to indicate the bird's response to the activity as follows:

W - Weak response, waterbirds move slightly away from the source of the disturbance.

M - Moderate response, waterbirds move away from the source of the disturbance to another part of your subsite; they may return to their original position once the activity ceases.

H - High response, waterbirds fly away to areas outside of your subsite and do not return during the current count session.

The length of the activity was also recorded by adding by the codes A - D (see below) and a record was made as to whether the activity was already occurring within the subsite when the count started.

- A short/discrete event.
- **B** activity occurs for up to 50% of the count period.
- **C** activity length estimated at >50% but < 100% of the count period.
- **D** activity continues after the count period has ended.

3.4. Data analysis

3.4.1. General

Field data were collected in notebooks and on field maps, and later transferred by field surveyors into MS Excel datasheets. At the end of the survey season the Excel datasheets were compiled and validated before being formatted and entered into a MS Access database. From Access, data summaries were produced such as site totals, subsite totals, etc. Flock aggregations, marked on field maps, were digitized.

Waterbird numbers were assessed with reference to national and international threshold levels as follows:

- A waterbird species that occurs in numbers that correspond to 1% or more of the individuals in the all-Ireland population of the species is said to occur in numbers of all-Ireland importance. Current population threshold values are published in Burke *et al.* (2019).
- A waterbird species that occurs in numbers that correspond to 1% or more of the individuals in the biogeographic population of the species or subspecies is said to occur in 'internationally important numbers.' Current international population threshold values are published by the African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbird Agreement (AEWA) Conservation Status Review 7 (CSR7) (AEWA, 2018) (published online at wpe.wetlands.org).

3.4.2. Waterbird distribution

Following the methods used in NPWS (2012), data analyses were undertaken to determine the proportional use of subsites by each waterbird Special Conservation Interest (SCI) species, relative to the whole area surveyed on each survey occasion. This gives an indication of the preferred distribution of each species. Analyses were undertaken on datasets as follows:

- Total numbers (low tide surveys),
- Total numbers (high tide survey),
- Total numbers of foraging birds (low tide surveys).

For each of the analyses listed above and for each survey date completed, subsites were ranked in succession from the highest to the lowest in terms of their relative contribution to each species' distribution across all subsites surveyed. Subsite rank positions were then converted to categories (see box below). The highest rank position for each subsite across any of the low tide count dates is presented for each SCI species in a subsite by species matrix. For high tide surveys, simple rank numbers are presented.

Subsite Rank Position - Categories									
Very High (V)	Any section ranked as 1.								
High (H)	Top third of ranking placings (where n = total number of count sections species was observed in)								
Moderate (M)	Mid third of ranking placings (where n = total number of count sections species was observed in)								
Low (L)	Lower third of ranking placings (where n = total number of count sections species was observed in).								

3.4.3. Trends

Trends were calculated for waterbird SCI species of Bannow Bay SPA. The species peak count from the 2021/22 winter season, along with the seven previous seasons (2020/21, 2019/20, 2018/19, 2017/18, 2016/17, 2015/16 and 2014/15) were compiled. Annual peak counts from either low tide or high tide surveys were used to calculate annual indices. An index number can be defined as a measure of population size in one year expressed in relation to the size of the population in another selected year (Leech *et al.*, 2002). An index for the first season (2014/15) was constrained to a value of one, and indices for all seasons after this were expressed relative to this base value. The mean annual change was then calculated by fitting a trend line (line-of-best-fit) to the data points. The equation of that straight line was then obtained (y = mx + c). The gradient (slope) gives a measure of the annual percentage change in index numbers, representing the short-term trend i.e. the annual change in numbers between 2014/15 and 2020/21. These calculated trends were then compared with the recent 'official' waterbird site trends for Bannow Bay as published by the I-WeBS Office in 2022 (Kennedy *et al.*, 2022).

4. **RESULTS**

4.1. Survey schedule and conditions

The 2021/22 winter waterbird survey season proceeded relatively unhampered by weather conditions with the exception of the final (February) low tide survey, which was rescheduled for early March. This was due to unsuitable weather during February; a month which saw higher than average amounts of rainfall across the country (Met Éireann, 2022). All surveys were carried out in good weather conditions (**Table 4.1.1**).

Date	Survey	Wind	Cloud (%)	Rain	Visibility	Notes
25.10.21	LT1	Light	0-33	None	Good	No survey constraints
08.11.21	LT2	Light	0-66	None	Good	No survey constraints
06.12.21	LT3	Breezy	0-66	None/showers	Good	No survey constraints
13.01.22	HT1	Light	0-66	None	Good	No survey constraints
03.03.22	LT4	Light/Breezy	0-33	None	Good	No survey constraints

Table 4.1.1:Weather conditions for the 2021/22 survey programme.

4.2. Species assemblage, diversity and occurrence

A total of 39 waterbird species were recorded during the winter 2021/22 surveys at Bannow Bay (plus one record of 'unidentified gull'). The species list includes 18 wildfowl and allies, 15 wader species and six gull species (**Table 4.2.1**). A total of seven Annex I species (EU Bird's Directive) was recorded as follows: Whooper Swan, Red-throated Diver, Great Northern Diver, Little Egret, Golden Plover, Bartailed Godwit and Mediterranean Gull). The species list also includes 33 species that are on the *Birds of Conservation Concern in Ireland* lists (Gilbert *et al.*, 2021), including 12 that are Red-listed and are of highest concern, and a further 21 species that are Amber-listed. All Special Conservation Interest (SCI) species listed for Bannow Bay SPA were recorded.

Whole site species diversity ranged between 30 and 34 species; the peak number recorded during the November low tide survey. Twenty-four species were recorded in all five surveys undertaken. Six species were recorded on one survey date only (Mute Swan, Whooper Swan, Pintail, Goldeneye, Whimbrel and Mediterranean Gull).

Table 4.2.1: Species recorded during the winter surveys at Bannow Bay 2021/22. The table highlights Annex I species* (EU Bird's Directive) and Red and Amber-listed species under 'Birds of Conservation Concern 4' (Gilbert et al., 2021). A ∨ means that a species was present during the monthly survey.

Code	Common name	Latin name	BoCCI-4	LT1	LT2	LT3	LT4	HT1
MS	Mute Swan	Cygnus olor	Amber				V	
WS	Whooper Swan*	Cygnus cygnus	Amber	V				
РВ	Light-bellied Brent Goose	Branta bernicla hrota	Amber	V	V	V	V	V
SU	Shelduck	Tadorna tadorna	Amber	V	V	V	V	V
WN	Wigeon	Anas penelope	Amber	V	V	V	V	V
Т.	Teal	Anas crecca	Amber	٧	٧	V	V	V
MA	Mallard	Anas platyrhynchos	Amber	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
PT	Pintail	Anas acuta	Amber			V		
GN	Goldeneye	Bucephala clangula	Red					V
RM	Red-breasted Merganser	Mergus serrator	Amber		٧	٧	٧	V
RH	Red-throated Diver*	Gavia stellata	Amber	٧		V	٧	V
ND	Great Northern Diver*	Gavia immer	Amber	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
LG	Little Grebe	Tachybaptus ruficollis		٧	٧	٧		V
GG	Great Crested Grebe	Podiceps cristatus	Amber	٧		٧		V
CA	Cormorant	Phalacrocorax carbo	Amber	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
SA	Shag	Phalacrocorax aristotelis	Amber	٧	٧	٧		V
ET	Little Egret*	Egretta garzetta		٧	٧	٧	٧	V
Н.	Grey Heron	Ardea cinerea		٧	٧	٧	٧	V
OC	Oystercatcher	Haematopus ostralegus	Red	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
RP	Ringed Plover	Charadrius hiaticula	Amber			٧	٧	V
GP	Golden Plover*	Pluvialis apricaria	Red	٧	٧	٧		V
GV	Grey Plover	Pluvialis squatarola	Red	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
L.	Lapwing	Vanellus vanellus	Red	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
KN	Knot	Calidris canutus	Red	٧	٧	٧	٧	
DN	Dunlin	Calidris alpina	Red	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
SN	Snipe	Gallinago gallinago	Red		٧	٧	٧	V
BW	Black-tailed Godwit	Limosa limosa	Red	٧	٧	V	٧	V
BA	Bar-tailed Godwit*	Limosa lapponica	Red	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
WM	Whimbrel	Numenius phaeopus					٧	
CU	Curlew	Numenius arquata	Red	٧	٧	٧	٧	٧
GK	Greenshank	Tringa nebularia		٧	٧	V	٧	V
RK	Redshank	Tringa totanus	Red	٧	٧	V	V	V
TT	Turnstone	Arenaria interpres	Amber	٧	٧	٧	٧	V
MU	Mediterranean Gull*	Larus melanocephalus	Amber				V	
		Chroicocephalus		V	V	V	V	٧
BH	Black-headed Gull	ridibundus	Amber					
СМ	Common Gull	Larus canus	Amber	V	V	V	V	V
LB	Lesser Black-backed Gull	Larus fuscus	Amber	V	V	V	V	٧
HG	Herring Gull	Larus argentatus	Amber	V	V	V	V	V
GB	Great Black-backed Gull	Larus marinus		V	V	V	V	٧
UU	Unidentified Gull					V		

Figure 4.2.2: Species diversity per subsite.

Overall subsite species diversity ranged from a total of 15 species (00487 Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge) to a peak of 31 species (00411 St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow) (**Figure 4.2.1**, **Table 4.2.2**). Within low tide surveys, highest species diversity was found in three subsites (00411, 00413 and 00416) (**Figure 4.2.2**), and more than double recorded for either 00487 or 00489 in any survey.

Eight species (Teal, Little Egret, Grey Heron, Oystercatcher, Curlew, Greenshank, Redshank and Blackheaded Gull) occurred in all eight subsites and were therefore the most widespread. The most uncommon species, occurring within one subsite only were (Mute Swan, Whooper Swan, Pintail, Goldeneye, Red-throated Diver, Whimbrel and Mediterranean Gull).




Figure 4.2.1: Subsite diversity overall




Figure 4.2.2: Subsite diversity per survey

Common name	00410	00411	00413	00416	00417	00418	00487	00489
Mute Swan	V							
Whooper Swan					V			
Light-bellied Brent Goose	V	V	V	V		V	V	
Shelduck	٧	V	V	V	V	V		V
Wigeon		V	V	V	V	V	V	V
Teal	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V
Mallard	V	V	V	V		V	V	V
Pintail						V		
Goldeneye				V				
Red-breasted Merganser		V	V	V	V			
Red-throated Diver	V							
Great Northern Diver	V	V	V					
Little Grebe	V	V	V		V			
Great Crested Grebe	٧		V					
Cormorant	V	V	V	V	V	V		
Shag	V	V						
Little Egret	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V
Grey Heron	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V
Oystercatcher	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V
Ringed Plover		V		V		V		
Golden Plover		V			V	V		
Grey Plover		V	V		V	V		
Lapwing		V	V	V	V	V		V
Knot		V	V	V		V		
Dunlin		V	V	V	V	V		V
Snipe						V	V	V
Black-tailed Godwit		V	V	V	V	V	V	V
Bar-tailed Godwit		V	V	V	V	V	V	
Whimbrel			V					
Curlew	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V
Greenshank	V	V	V	V	V	V	V	V
Redshank	٧	٧	٧	V	٧	٧	٧	٧
Turnstone	V	٧	V	v		V		
Mediterranean Gull	٧							
Black-headed Gull	V	V	٧	V	V	٧	V	V
Common Gull	V	٧	٧	V	٧	٧		V
Lesser Black-backed Gull	V	V	٧	V	V			
Herring Gull	V	٧	٧	V	٧	٧	V	
Great Black-backed Gull	V	V	V	V	V	V		V
Unidentified gull		V						

Table 4.2.2: Subsite diversity (tick marks indicate that a species was recorded in that subsite)

4.3. Total numbers of waterbirds

During winter 2021/22, total numbers of waterbirds during low tide surveys ranged from 6,080 (March 2022), to a peak count of 11,727 waterbirds (December 2021). A total of 11,628 waterbirds was counted during the January 2022 high tide survey (**Table 4.3.1**). While these totals are higher than recorded during winter 2020/21, the overall trend in total waterbird numbers at the site during the low tide period is for decline (**Figure 4.3.1**). Total numbers during the high tide period are highly variable however, with no discernible trend.

Winter	Total Numbers of Waterbirds (Site totals)										
winter	LT1	LT2	LT3	LT4	НТ						
2021/22	7,307	8,680	11,727	6,080	11,628						
2020/21	8,078	7,118	9,128	5,291	7,667						
2019/20	11,318	12,032	11,300	8,124	7,982						
2018/19	6,991	12,411	10,680	13,801	10,192						
2017/18	7,988	6,433ª	11,942	6,555	7,542						
2016/17	9,372	13,705	13,792	10,166 ^b	14,135						
2015/16	9,105	13,190	11,965	14,677	8,014						
2014/15	10,155	14,415	14,974	11,795	13,741						
2009/10	17,323	10,212	13,865	10,879	7,103 (Jan 10)						
2003/10	1,,525	10,212	10,000	10,075	12,666 (Feb 10)						

Table 4.3.1: Total numbers of waterbirds counted at Bannow Bay during winter 2021/22, plus totalsfrom previous survey programmes undertaken at the site.

^acount affected by fog, ^b incomplete count (only partial count of 00411).




Figure 4.3.1: Peak low-and high-tide counts per season.

4.4. Species totals

Waterbird species peak counts for the 2021/22 at Bannow Bay are shown in Table 4.4.1.

During low tide surveys, one species was recorded in numbers of international importance (Lightbellied Brent Goose). Peak numbers of Black-tailed Godwit, formerly a species that occurred in numbers of international importance, did not exceed the required threshold of 1,100 individuals during winter 2021/22. The peak count of 637 Black-tailed Godwits however, is of national importance. A further ten species also occurred in numbers of all-Ireland (national) importance during low tide surveys.

During the high tide survey, one species was recorded in numbers of international importance (Lightbellied Brent Goose) and a further 11 species occurred in numbers of all-Ireland importance.

4.5. Trends in waterbird numbers

Following methods described in **Section 3.4.3**, the mean annual change was calculated to represent a short-term trend reflecting the change in waterbird numbers between 2014/15 and 2021/22. Results are shown in **Table 4.5.1** for waterbird SCI species with graphs for selected species shown in **Figure 4.5.1**. A threshold value of +/- 1.2% was used to express the trend as either increasing or decreasing. These trends are compared with the published trends for waterbirds at Bannow Bay, and the national trend (Kennedy *et al.,* 2022).

Based on our calculations using data from the low tide survey programmes, only two of the 12 waterbird species examined have stable or increasing trends (Knot and Black-tailed Godwit). These trends are at variance with the I-WeBS site trend which shows both of these species as in moderate decline. 'Official' trends based on I-WeBS data are only available for seven of the species³, and trends for all bar one species (Bar-tailed Godwit) indicate declines.

³ Note -only species are included in I-WeBS site trend analyses that fulfil certain criteria in terms of the level of available data over months and years. This explains why trends are not available for all waterbird species.

Table 4.4.1:Peak counts of waterbird species during low tide (LT) and high tide (HT) surveys at Bannow Bay during 2021/22, plus peaks from the previous seven low tide survey
seasons, highlighting numbers of international (i) and national (n) (all-Ireland) importance. The thresholds used are applicable to the timing of the survey hence all-
Ireland thresholds currently follow (Burke et al., 2019) with Crowe & Holt (2013) for previous surveys, while international thresholds currently follow AEWA (2018) with
Wetlands International, 2012 for earlier. Waterbird SCI species for Bannow Bay SPA are in bold font.

Species	2021	/22	2020/21		201	9/20	201	8/19	2017/18		201	6/17	201	5/16	201	4/15
	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н
Mute Swan	2		2	2	2	2	2		1	2	2			1		
Whooper Swan	29															
Light-bellied Brent Goose	668 (i)	415 (i)	709 (i)	585 (I)	489 (i)	778 (i)	489 (i)	415 (i)	557 (i)	575 (i)	841 (i)	615 (i)	609 (i)	640 (i)	787 (i)	484 (i)
Shelduck	429 (n)	625 (n)	207 (n)	206 (n)	203 (n)	120 (n)	325 (n)	353 (n)	470 (n)	202 (n)	413 (n)	395 (n)	308 (n)	279 (n)	518 (n)	244 (n)
Wigeon	352	839 (n)	371	307	715 (n)	65	564 (n)	140	493	283	661 (n)	528	356	300	781 (n)	550
Teal	273	299	487 (n)	537 (n)	278	309	915 (n)	298	293	170	619 (n)	806 (n)	478 (n)	219	472 (n)	546 (n)
Mallard	237	363 (n)	253	246	359 (n)	141	359 (n)	250	151	113	206	117	228	113	258	142
Pintail	5															
Scaup				1												
Goldeneye		3		1	2		1	4	5	2		7	5	3	9	3
Red-breasted Merganser	19	36 (n)	15	34 (n)	9	10	12	4	27 (n)	36 (n)	20 (n)	28 (n)	13	5	39 (n)	26 (n)
Red-throated Diver	18	11	1	8												
Great Northern Diver	4	6	8	4	12	8	5		6	6	2		11	5	1	2
Little Grebe	7	15	4	4	9	5	14	3	4	6	8	2	2	6	14	12
Great Crested Grebe	1	2	3	1	5	7	13		2		9	2	1	2	5	1
Cormorant	82	138 (n)	212 (n)	12	151 (n)	17	51	28	28	33	34	43	38	7	23	14
Shag	31	12														
Little Egret	41 (n)	14	22 (n)	7	40 (n)	14	38 (n)	24 (n)	13	5	62 (n)	18	68 (n)	6	53 (n)	14
Grey Heron	12	10	6	4	7	4	15	15	11	5	23	11	16	1	19	6
Oystercatcher	678 (n)	411	829 (n)	582	686 (n)	233	1,120 (n)	639 (n)	754 (n)	590	1,237 (n)	482	1036 (n)	719 (n)	962 (n)	1146 (n)
Ringed Plover	30	12	30	7	80		23	2	86	0	179 (n)	0	74	0	37	118 (n)

Species	2021	L/22	2020)/21	201	9/20	201	8/19	201	7/18	201	6/17	201	5/16	201	4/15
	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н	L	н
Golden Plover	342	1,600 (n)	1,150 (n)		2,131 (n)	800	4,958 (n)	2,000 (n)	3,075 (n)	681	3,850 (n)	0	8020 (n)	281	4459 (n)	550
Grey Plover	48 (n)	46 (n)	34 (n)	33 (n)	111 (n)		74 (n)	148 (n)	39 (n)	9	105 (n)	197 (n)	83 (n)	91 (n)	59 (n)	265 (n)
Lapwing	705	3,014 (n)	1,260 (n)	26	1,880 (n)	1,908 (n)	2 <i>,</i> 498 (n)	2,267 (n)	1,235 (n)	1,229 (n)	1,905 (n)	3 <i>,</i> 957 (n)	1878 (n)	1875 (n)	2782 (n)	720
Knot	542 (n)		1,119 (n)	990 (n)	963 (n)	40	613 (n)	33	247	142	344 (n)	315 (n)	555 (n)	313 (n)	959 (n)	709 (n)
Sanderling			57	20	200 (n)		145 (n)		12	0	72 (n)					
Dunlin	2,252 (n)	2 <i>,</i> 157 (n)	1,758 (n)	1,829 (n)	1,706 (n)	789 (n)	1,580 (n)	976 (n)	943 (n)	1,739 (n)	2,437 (n)	3,519 (n)	2060 (n)	613 (n)	1992 (n)	1947 (n)
Snipe	9	2	4		15	3	37		3		13	4	8	11	0	18
Black-tailed Godwit	637 (n)	184	1,437 (i)	286 (n)	2,752 (i)	84	390 (n)	62	523 (n)	437 (n)	555 (n)	433 (n)	413 (n)	132	633 (i)	127
Bar-tailed Godwit	208 (n)	184 (n)	403 (n)	69	494 (n)		245 (n)	850 (n)	610 (n)	2	559 (n)	656 (n)	470 (n)	700 (n)	644 (n)	1202 (i)
Curlew	628 (n)	289	458 (n)	270	1,064 (n)	921 (n)	690 (n)	297	562 (n)	608 (n)	796 (n)	434 (n)	1171 (n)	254	690 (n)	930 (n)
Greenshank	26 (n)	14	9	17	16	8	17	13	10	13	19	34 (n)	18	22 (n)	22 (n)	37 (n)
Redshank	566 (n)	406 (n)	383 (n)	382 (n)	469 (n)	489 (n)	454 (n)	326 (n)	355 (n)	309	525 (n)	277	588 (n)	293	385 (n)	396 (n)
Turnstone	15	7	66	45	34	31	15	57	26	29	31	50	47	18	34	46
Mediterranean Gull	2															
Black-headed Gull	4,362	611	1,912	1,048	1,435	258	1,433	937	1,031	101	2,565	1,057	2951	920	1504	3160
Common Gull	128	154	47	20	268	98	50	14	317	128	632	71	178	40	307	182
Lesser Black-backed Gull	35	11	60	10	24	5	48	4	62	16	150	8	64	83	432	65
Herring Gull	439	48	247	74	328	579	139	24	277	60	357	61	147	38	203	48
Great Black-backed Gull	59	50	97		120	31	40	9	39	10	53	7	28	3	33	3
Kingfisher			1													

	Mean annual change	5-year site trend	12-year site trend	23-year site trend	Site trend	5-year national	12-year national	23-year national	National trend
Species	2014-21	(I-WeBS)	(I-WeBS)	(I-WeBS)	(I-WeBS)	trend	trend	trend	(I-WeBS)
Light-bellied Brent Goose	-1.2					-11.2	1.2	93.3	Stable/increasing
Shelduck	-6.6					6.3	-0.8	9.3	Stable/increasing
Oystercatcher	-7.0					-17.5	-31.1	10.8	Stable/increasing
Golden Plover	-19.5					-16.9	-58.1	-54.1	Large decline
Grey Plover	-18.9	-61.2	-42.6	-82.2	Large decline	-30.6	-39.4	-57.8	Large decline
Lapwing	-3.4					-6.5	-45.1	-63.9	Large decline
Knot	4.27	-15.5	2.9	-35.5	Moderate decline	0	-12.2	-9.8	Intermediate decline
Dunlin	-2.4	-28.8	-18	-75.3	Large decline	5.9	-21.2	-45.2	Moderate decline
Black-tailed Godwit	13.7	-48.2	-48.8	-35.5	Moderate decline	22.5	25	92.3	Stable/increasing
Bar-tailed Godwit	-16.9	-27.1	2.6	14.7	Stable/increasing	-32.6	-13.9	-5.1	Intermediate decline
Curlew	-7.4	-34.3	-39.2	-42.5	Moderate decline	-9.4	-23.7	-43.1	Moderate decline
Redshank	-1.3	-30.6	-45.6	-39.4	Moderate decline	-14	-28.4	6.7	Stable/increasing

Table 4.5.1:Trend (mean annual change %) calculated for the period 2014/15 - 2021/22, plus the site trends for Bannow Bay and national trends after Kennedy
et al. (2022).




Figure 4.5.1 (a-f): Selected waterbird species trends (based on calculations using data from the low tide survey programme).

4.6. Subsite Totals

During the 2021/22 survey season, 00416 (Kiltra) held peak numbers of waterbirds during three low tide surveys, and 00413 (St Kiernans to Newtown) held peak numbers once (October 2021) (**Table 4.6.1**). 00417 (Clonmines Castle) in the inner bay, supported peak numbers during the January high tide survey, attributed to a large count of Golden Plover and Lapwing present on that day.

00418 (Bannow Island to Newquay) which has often held peak numbers in previous winters (**Table 4.6.2**), did not support peak numbers during winter 2021/22.

Six of the subsites recorded peak counts during winter 2021/22 that were higher than the peak count recorded during winter 2020/21 (**Table 4.6.1**).

Subsite Code	LT1	LT2	LT3	LT4	НТ	Peak count 2020/21
00410	296	338	1,049	446	282	526 (LT)
00411	1,066	2,201	2,754	1,145	927	1,818 (LT)
00413	2,037	1,125	1,574	1,033	345	2,128 (LT)
00416	1,348	2,674	3,461	1,707	1,488	1,760 (LT)
00417	1,143	646	715	568	5,180	1,381 (LT)
00418	1,063	1,261	1,630	1,053	2,370	2,699 (LT)
00487	175	320	210	109	364	270 (HT)
00489	179	115	234	19	672	444 (LT)

Table 4.6.1: Total numbers of waterbirds within subsites during winter 2021/22. Peak count per survey
shown in bold font.

Notably, Fethard Bay (00410) and 00411 (St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow) recorded their highest peak counts on record during winter 2021/22. The overall subsite peak count during low tide was for Kiltra (00416) and this subsite has consistently held peak waterbird numbers across the years of survey (**Table 4.6.2**). The peak high tide count, and highest count overall was recorded for 00417 (Clonmines Castle). This overall subsite peak count is higher than that recorded during the previous two survey seasons (**Figure 4.6.1**), but the lowest subsite peak count since winter 2018/19.




Figure 4.6.1: Winter peak subsite counts over time, winter 2014/15 – 2021/22.

Subsite Code	Subsite Name	2021/22	2020/21	2019/20	2018/19	2017/18	2016/17	2015/16	2014/15
00410	Fethard Bay	1,049 (LT)	526 (LT)	365 (LT)	582 (LT)	586 (LT)	797 (LT)	291 (LT)	916 (LT)
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow	2,754 (LT)	1,818 (LT)	1,575 (LT)	1,115 (LT)	1,097 (LT)	2,006 (LT)	2,477 (LT)	2,551 (LT)
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	2,037 (LT)	2,128 (LT)	3,663 (LT)	1,084 (LT)	825 (LT)	2,304 (LT)	1,600 (LT)	898 (LT)
00416	Kiltra	3,461 (LT)	1,987 (LT)	4,030 (LT)	6,603 (LT)	5,711 (LT)	7,482 (LT)	6,285 (LT)	8,849 (LT)
00417	Clonmines Castle	5,180 (HT)	1,381 (LT)	3,703 (HT)	5 <i>,</i> 668 (HT)	3 <i>,</i> 383 (HT)	2,075 (HT)	4,838 (LT)	1,527 (HT)
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay	2,370 (HT)	2,699 (LT)	4,151 (LT)	2,518 (LT)	1,415 (LT)	3,385 (HT)	2,813 (LT)	2,101 (LT)
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge	364 (HT)	270 (HT)	753 (HT)	522 (LT)	219 (LT)	354 (LT)	217 (LT)	248 (LT)
00489	Pollfur	672 (HT)	444 (LT)	365 (LT)	273 (LT)	488 (LT)	354 (HT)	320 (LT)	234 (LT)

Table 4.6.2: Peak numbers of waterbirds within subsites during 2021/22 and the seven previous surveyseasons. Overall peak for each winter shown in bold.

4.7. Waterbird Distribution

During low tide surveys, 00411 (Fethard Bay), 00413 (Saint Kiernans to Newtown) and 00418 (Bannow Island to Newquay) all supported eight waterbird species in numbers ranked as 'very high' (**Table 4.7.1**). In terms of species collectively ranked as very high, high and moderate, 00411 and 00418 supported the largest number of species (12).

To aid interpretation over time, **Figure 4.7.1** shows the percentage of low tide surveys across the nineyear survey period that each species was recorded in Very high, High, Moderate or Low numbers within subsites. For instance, the longer the red, blue lines in the graphs, the more preferred the subsite at low tide (assessment based on total numbers at low tide).

Four subsites held peak numbers of waterbird species during the high tide survey (00416, 00417, 00418 and 00487) although 00418 (Bannow Island to Newquay) is notable for supporting the greatest number of species (five) in peak numbers during high tide (**Table 4.7.2**). Notably, despite holding peak numbers in previous winter surveys, 00413 didn't support numbers ranked as very high, or high during winter 2021/22 or the previous winter 2020/21.

Table 4.7.1: Relative importance of each subsite based on total numbers of waterbird SCI species

 during low tide surveys of winter 2021/22.

Subsite Code	Subsite Name	Very High	High	Moderate
00410	Fethard Bay		PB	OC
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow	PB, SU, GV, DN, BW, CU, RK	GP, KN, BA	OC, L.
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	L., DN, BW, BA, RK	PB, SU, OC, GV, KN, CU	
00416	Kiltra	OC, L., KN, DN, BW, BA, CU	SU, RK	РВ
00417	Clonmines Castle	GP, L.	GV, DN, BW, CU	SU, RK
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay	SU, OC, GP, KN, DN, BW, RK	GV <i>,</i> L.	PB, BA, CU
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge			PB, CU, RK
00489	Pollfur			L., CU, RK


Table shows waterbird species by their standard two-letter codes: BA Bar-tailed Godwit, BW Black-tailed Godwit, CU Curlew, DN Dunlin, GP Golden Plover, GV Grey Plover, KN Knot, OC Oystercatcher, PB Light-bellied Brent Goose, RK Redshank, SU Shelduck.

Subsite Code	Subsite Name	Ranked 1	Ranked 2	Ranked 3
00410	Fethard Bay			
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		PB, SU, BA	OC, CU, RK
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown			ВА
00416	Kiltra	РВ		SU
00417	Clonmines Castle	GP, L., BA, RK	OC, DN, BW, CU	
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay	SU, OC, GV, DN, BW	RK	РВ
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge	CU		BW
00489	Pollfur		L.	

Table 4.7.2: Relative importance of each subsite ranked by total numbers during the high tide survey.



Figure 4.7.1: Graphs showing the percentage of low tide surveys across the nine-year survey period that each species was recorded in Very high, High, Moderate or Low numbers within subsites.






Figure 4.7.1 (continued): Graphs showing the percentage of low tide surveys across the nine-year survey period that each species was recorded in Very high, High, Moderate or Low numbers within subsites.

Tables 4.7.3 – 4.7.5 provide an assessment of waterbird distribution. Subsites are ranked in succession from the highest to the lowest in terms of their relative contribution to each species' distribution during low tide, high tide, and for selected species foraging intertidally. Note that subsite rankings for low tide surveys are based on categories (Very high, High, Moderate and Low), while for waterbird numbers at high tide, subsites are ranked by number (1-8). The tables show the current rankings and in brackets, the rankings from all previous low tide survey programmes over time.

Subsites	00410	00411	00413	00416	00417	00418	00487	00489
Species			00.110			00110		
РВ	H (H, H, V, V, V, M, H, H)	V (V, V, V)	H (H, V, V, M, V, V, V, V)	M (V, V, V, M, V, V, H, V)	- (M M)	M (H, M, M, L, H, V, V, V)	M (M, M)	- (-, L, M, M, M)
SU	L (L, L, L, H)	V (H, V, M, V, M, H, M, H)	H (L, M, H, L, H, H, V, M)	H (H, H, H, H, V, H, H, V)	-M (- H, - L, M, L, L, H)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	- (- L, L, - M)	- (M, M, - M, M H)
ос	M (M, L, M, M, M, M, M, H)	M (M, M, M, H, M, M, H, H)	H (H, H, H, V, V, H, H, V)	V (V, V, H, H, H, V, H, V)	L (M, M, M, L, M, M, H, M)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	L (- L, L, L, L, L)	L (L, M, L, L, L, L, L, L)
GP	- (M,)	H (- H, - H, V, H, H, V)	H (H, M, M, H)	- (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	V (V, V, V, H, H, H)	V (V, V, V, H, H, H, M, V)	- ()	- (M)
GV	- (- L M,)	V (V, L, V, V, V, M, H, V)	H (V, L, H, V, H, M, -, V)	- (H, M, H, V, V, V, V, M)	H (M, V, V, H, V, H, H, M)	H (V, V, H, H, V, V, V, V)	- ()	- (L L, M)
L.	- (M, M, V, M, L, L, M, M)	M (L, M, M, M, M, V, H, H)	V (M, M, M, H, L, L, M, H)	V (H, H, V, V, V, V, V, V)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, H, V)	H (, H, H, - H, H, H, M)	- (- L, H)	M (- L, L, L, -)
KN	- ()	H (V, V, H, H, H, H, V)	H (H, H, H, V, H, M, H, -, H)	V (V, -, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	- (M, H,)	V (H, V, V, H, V, V, V, H, V)	- ()	- ()
DN	- (L,)	V (V, V, H, V, H, H, V)	V (V, V, H, H, V, M, H)	V (H, V, V, V, V, V, V)	H (H, H, V, M, V, H, M)	V (V, H, M, V, V, H, V)	- (<i>,</i> M)	L ()
BW	- (L,)	V (H, M, H, M, H, M, H, M)	V (V, V, V, H, H, V, H)	V (V, H, V, V, V, V, V, V)	H (- V, V, H, V, M, H, M)	V (H, V, H, M, V, V, H, M)	L (- M, M, M, M, L, M, H)	- (- M, M, V, M, M, H, H)
ВА	- (, L)	H (V, M, M, H, H, H, H, H)	V (V, V, M, H, H, H, H, V)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	L (L, L, H, M, H, H, M, M)	(H, H, H, M,, M, M)	-L ()	- (L, M)
CU	L (L, L, L, M, M, L, L, L)	V (V, V, V, V, H, H, H, H)	H (H, H, H, H, H, H, H, H)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	H (H, H, H, M, V, V, H, H)	M (M, M, M, H, H, H, M, V)	M (L, L, M, M, L, L, L, M)	M (L, L, M, M, M, M, L, M)
RK	L, L) L (M, L, M, L, L, L, L, M)	V (V, H, V, H, M, H, V, V)	V (H, V, H, M, H, H, H, H)	V, V) H (H, H, H, H, V, V, V, H)	M (M, V, V, H, M, M, L, M)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	M (M, M, M, L, L, L, L, L)	M (M, H, H, V, M, M, H, M)

Table 4.7.3:Subsite ranking (categories) based on **total numbers** during low tide surveys.

NOTE: letters refer to the category recorded during the 2021/22, 2020/21, 2019/20, 2018/19, 2017/18, 2016/17, 2015/16, 2014/15 and 2009/10 surveys respectively; a line (-) refers to a previous zero count in the subsite.

Subsites	00410	00411	00413	00416	00417	00418	00487	00489
Species	00410	00411	00413	00410	00417	00418	00487	00485
РВ	- (3, 5, 2, 6, 2, -, 6, 4)	2 (2, 3, 3, 4, 3, 2, 1,1)	- (5, -, 5, 2, 4, -, 5, 3)	1 (1, 6, 1, 1, 6, 1, 3,2)	- (- 2,, 4, -)	3 (4, 1, - 3, 1, -, 2,1)	- ()	- (- 4, 4, 5, 5,,5)
SU	- ()	2 (2, 4, - 2, 2, 2,2,2)	- (1, -,4)	3 (3, 5, 2, - 4, 4, - ,5)	4 (- 2, 5, 3, -,3)	1 (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,1)	- ()	5 (- 3, - 3, 3, 3, 1,2)
ос	4 (5, 4, 4, 3, 6, -, 5, 4)	3 (2, 4, 6, 4, 2, 1, 3,2)	5 (6, 6, 5, 5, 4, 5, 4,4)	5 (3, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 2, 2)	2 (, 2, 3, 4, -,3)	1 (1, 5, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1,1)	- ()	7 (7, 5)
GP	- ()	- (, -, 3,3)	- (,1)	- (, 1,1)	1 (- 1, 1, 1, -, 1, - ,2)	- (2,, 2,2)	- ()	- ()
GV	- (3)	- (1)	- (,3)	- (1, 2, 1,1)	- (- 1, 2, - 3, -, 3, 2)	1 (2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 3, 2,1)	- ()	- (,5)
L.	- (- 3, 6, 5,)	4 (, 2, 3, 4, 2, 2,3)	5 (- 4, 5, 3, 5, 4, 6,5)	6 (2 - 3, 2, 1, 3, 1,2)	1 (1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 3,1)	3 (- 5, 3, 5, 3, 5, 4,4)	- (,2)	2 (- 2, 6,)
KN	- ()	- (, -, 4)	- (,3)	- (, 2, 1,2)	- (2 , 2,1)	- (1, 1, 1, 1, 1, ,1)	- ()	- ()
DN	- ()	- (3 - 2, - 4, 1, -,3)	- (5, 3,,4)	- (4, 2, 1, 3, 2,1)	2 (2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 2, 1,2)	1 (1, 2, 3, 3, 2, 4, 3,1)	- ()	- ()
BW	- ()	5 (4,, 1,3)	4 (5, - 2,)	- (2, 1, - 2,1)	2 (3 1, 4,,1)	1 (1 - 1, - 1 -, 2 -)	3 (2,)	6 (3, - 3,)
BA	- ()	2 (1 3, 3, -,4)	3 (1,,3)	- (1, 1, 1,1)	1 (2 - 1, -, 2, 2, -,2)	- (, 2,2)	- ()	- ()
CU	7 (5, 8, - 4, 7, -, 2, 6)	3 (1, 1, 7, 5, 4, 5, 4,3)	5 (- 4, 3, 3, 1, 3, 6,4)	- (3, 3, 2, 6, 2, 1, 1,1)	2 (2, 5, 1, 1, 3, 4, 3,3)	5 (4, 6, 5, 6, 5, 2, 5,2)	1 (- 2, 6, -, 6,1)	4 (- 7, - 2, 6,,5)
RK	7 (4, 8, 7, 7, 7, -, 8,5)	3 (3, 3, 4, 5, 5, 5, 3,3)	4 (6, 4, 3, 2, 1, 3, 2,4)	8 (7, 7, 6, 4, 2, 2, 4,1)	1 (1, 1, 2, 1, 6, 4, 5,2)	2 (2, 5, 1, 3, 3, 1, 1,1)	6 (5, 6, 5, 8, 8, 6, 6,7)	5 (8, 2, - 6, 4, - 7, 5)

Table 4.7.4:Subsite ranking based on **total numbers** during the high tide survey.

NOTE: letters refer to the category recorded during the 2021/22, 2020/21 2019/20, 2018/19, 2017/18, 2016/17, 2015/16, 2014/15 and 2009/10 surveys respectively; a line (-) refers to a previous zero count in the subsite.

Subsites								
Species	00410	00411	00413	00416	00417	00418	00487	00489
РВ	H (V, H, V, V, V, M, V, H)	V (V, V, V, H, V, V, H, V)	V (H, V, V, M, V, V, V, V)	M (L, M, V, V, M, -)	- ()	M (H, H, H, L, V, V, V, H)	M ()	- (- M, M,, L)
SU	- (- M)	H (V, V, H, V, M, V, M, H)	M (M, M, M, L, H, -, M, L)	V (M, M, V, H, V, M, V, V)	L (L, M, M, H)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	- (- L,, L, -, M)	- (M, M, - M,, H)
ос	M (M, M, M, M, M, M, M, H)	H (M, M, H, M, H, H, H, H)	H (H, H, M, H, H, H, H, H)	V (V, V, H, H, V, V, V, V)	L (H, M, H, L, M, M, H, M)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	L (L, L, L, L)	L (L, L, L, L, L, L, L, M)
GV	- (L,, L,)	V (V, -, V, V, V, M, H, V)	H (V, H, V, V, H, M, -, H)	- (M, H, H, V, M, V, V, M)	H (M, V, V, H, V, H, H, L)	H (V, V, H, H, V, V, V, V)	- ()	- (L)
KN	- ()	V (V, H, V, -, H, V)	V (H, V, V, H, H, H, -, H)	H (- H, V, V, V, V, V, V)	- (- V,)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, H, V)	- ()	- ()
DN	- (L,)	V (V, H, V, H, V, H, H, V)	V (H, H, V, V, H, H, V, M, H)	V (- V, H, V, V, V, V, V, V)	H (H, M, V, H, V, M, L, H, M)	V (H, H, V, H, M, V, V, H, V)	- (, M)	L ()
BW	- ()	V (H, L, H, M, V, M, H, M)	M (V, V, V, H, V, V, H, V)	V (V, V, V)	V (- H, V, H, H, M, V, H)	H (V, V, H, V, M, V, V, H)	L (- H, - H, -, L, H, V)	- (- M, M, V, -, L, V, H)
ВА	- (, L)	H (V, M, H, H, H, M, H, H)	V (V, H, H, H, H, V, V, V)	V (V, V, V)	L (L, M, V, H, H, H, L, M)	M (H, H, H, M, -, L, M, M)	- ()	- (, L)
CU	L (LM, , L, M, L, L, L, M)	V (V, V, V, H, H, H, H, H)	H (H, H, H, H, V, V, H, H)	V (V, V, V	L (H, M, H, M, V, V, H, M)	M (H, H, M, H, M, H, M, V)	M (M, M, L, M, L, L, M, M)	H (L, L, M, M, L, L, L, M)
RK	L (M, L, M, L, L, L, L, L)	H (V, H, H, H, M, H, V, V)	V (M, H, H, M, H, H, H, H)	V (H, V, H, H, V, V, V, H)	M (M, V, V, M, M, M, L, M)	V (V, V, V, V, V, V, V, V)	M (M, M, M, L, L, L, L, M)	M (M, H, H, V, M, M, H, M)

Table 4.7.5:Subsite ranking based on numbers foraging intertidally during low tide surveys.

NOTE: letters refer to the category recorded during the 2021/22, 2020/21, 2019/20 2018/19, 2017/18, 2016/17, 2015/16, 2014/15 and 2009/10 surveys respectively; a line (-) refers to a previous zero count in the subsite.

4.8. Waterbird distribution and statis – species summaries

The following species accounts examines the distribution of waterbird SCI species at Bannow Bay during winter 2021/22 and assesses patterns in light of previous low tide survey programmes at the site. The species' status and trends are also assessed in light of those at national and international level.

4.8.1. Light-bellied Brent Goose

In line with previous seasons, Light-bellied Brent Goose still occurs in numbers of international importance at Bannow Bay. 00411 (St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow) held peak numbers during all four low tide surveys. 00413 (Saint Kiernans to Newtown), which has previously supported peak numbers, did not during winter 2021/22, but numbers were close to those supported by 00411, so subsite preference is still evident. Notably, 00416 held very few geese during low tide surveys (<25 individuals) and none at all during two surveys which is surprising for this former highly ranking subsite. The subsite did hold peak numbers at high tide however, with 81% of the total number recorded on that date.

Over the whole site however, numbers recorded were reasonably consistent with recent previous years and our calculated site trend suggests stability across the recent eight years of the low tide survey programme. Nationally, while the long-term trend is stable, there are signs of a decline in population size in recent years.

4.8.2. Shelduck

Over time, one subsite (00418 Bannow Island to Newquay) has consistently held peak numbers of Shelduck during low and high tide surveys. The surveys of winter 2021/22 again showed that this subsite is the most important area of the whole site for Shelduck during both low and high tide. This represents a remarkable level of subsite faithfulness. The sediment of 00418 was shown to comprise fine sand and silt particles, and based on previous macroinvertebrate sampling (NPWS, 2011) the mollusc *Hydrobia ulvae* is likely to be found. This is a favoured prey of Shelduck, and the distribution of this small mud snail has been shown to determine the distribution of Shelduck across a site (e.g. Buxton, 1981). Such a high degree of site faithfulness is important in terms of site management.

Apart from 00418, this duck was regularly occurring within 00411 (St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow), again consistent with previous winter surveys.

While Shelduck still occur in numbers of national importance at Bannow Bay, it must be borne in mind that the threshold for this status has reduced over the years. Over the whole site, numbers recorded were reasonably consistent with recent previous years and our calculated site trend suggests a small decline since winter 2014/15. Nationally, the species trend is classed as stable.

4.8.3. Pintail

Five Pintail were recorded at Bannow Bay during winter 2021/22. This is the first time that the species has been recorded in any of the low tide survey programmes since 2014/15. During I-WeBS, the species was last recorded during winter 2005/06. Five Pintail were recorded in December 2021 within (00418 Bannow Island to Newquay). Nationally, Pintail numbers are in decline (-13.7% over 23 years).

4.8.4. Oystercatcher

Oystercatchers are a widely distributed wading bird and are found within estuarine sites, foraging terrestrially and along non-estuarine shores (Lewis *et al.* 2017). During winter 2021/22, they were recorded from within all eight subsites of Bannow Bay. Two subsites recorded numbers ranked as 'very high' – 00416 (Kiltra) and 00418 (Bannow Island to Newquay). This is highly consistent with previous surveys suggesting favourable foraging conditions prevail within these two subsites. The results indicate not only a high degree of subsite faithfulness, but also that these subsites provide a good food resource all winter. While Cockles (*Cerastoderma edule*) are known to occur in 00418, benthic data for Bannow Bay are not detailed enough to fully understand the subsite preference of Oystercatchers.

As in previous winter surveys, 00413 (Saint Kiernans to Newtown) also supported good proportions of birds on occasions. 00418 supported peak numbers at high tide, with 29% of the total number recorded on that date.

Oystercatcher numbers have declined at Bannow Bay (**Figure 4.8.1**). Once numbering over 1,000 individuals at the site, no site count has exceeded this number since winter of 2018/19. The species is declining at national level over the 5- and 12-year trend period (Kennedy *et al.*, 2022).




Figure 4.8.1: Calculated site trend for Oystercatcher.

4.8.5. Golden Plover

During winter, Golden Plovers are attracted to winter cereals, stubbles, fallows, harvest-fields and closed-grazed pastures, with the use of intertidal habitats largely restricted to roosting behaviour at low tide (Béchet, 2006). Wintering Golden Plovers are considered to be site faithful but individual roosting and foraging sites within the sites have the potential to differ within and between years (Wernham *et al.*, 2002). Roost locations of Golden Plover within Bannow Bay have been highly consistent over the years at Bannow Bay with the subsite 00416 (Kiltra) being favoured throughout nearly all surveys. The survey results from winter 2021/22, and indeed 2020/21 previously, are therefore surprising because 00416 did not support any Golden Plovers during winter 2021/22, and very few in the previous winter. The low tide peak number (342 individuals) during winter 2021/22 is also surprisingly low for a species that has regularly occurred in numbers well exceeding 1,000 (**Figure 4.8.2**). This red-listed species (Gilbert *et al.*, 2021) is declining at national level, over all time periods assessed and is exhibiting a 'large decline' over the long-term (Kennedy *et al.* 2022). Whether site factors are at play, or whether the site trend reflects the national trend is therefore unknown.




Figure 4.8.2: Calculated site trend for Golden Plover.

4.8.6. Grey Plover

Total site numbers of Grey Plover peaked at just 48 individuals during winter 2021/22. Peaks numbers were recorded within 00411 (St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow), highly consistent with previous low tide surveys. During high tide, 00418 (Bannow Island to Newquay) supported the only flock present (46 individuals). 00411 and 00418 on balance appear to have been the most favoured subsites over time (refer to **Figure 4.7.1**), this wader species favouring mid estuarine subsites, and absent or rare in the inner or outer estuary.

Both calculated trends, and recently published I-WeBS site trends, confirm that the species has declined in number at Bannow Bay, the official trend classed as a 'large decline' (-82% over 23 years). Nationally, the species has also exhibited a long-term decline in numbers.

4.8.7. Lapwing

Like Golden Plover, Lapwing are generally known to forage terrestrially and use intertidal flats as safe roosting habitat during periods of low tide. The largest site total count was recorded during high tide (3,014 individuals) and this represents numbers of national importance. The calculated site trend shows a small decline in numbers; no 'official' site trend is available through I-WeBS. Nationally, the species has been in decline over the long-term (Lewis *et al.*, 2019; Kennedy *et al.*, 2022) while the flyway trend is also for decline (Wetlands International, 2017). Lapwing is red-listed as a breeding and wintering species (Gilbert *et al.*, 2021).

During 2021/22 Lapwing were recorded in six count subsites of Bannow Bay. 00417 (Clonmines Castle) supported peak numbers during two low tide surveys and held peak numbers during the high tide survey. 00416 (Kiltra) is also notable for supporting good numbers of Lapwing, and 00417 and 00416 collectively held most of the Lapwings counted. This subsite preference has been very consistent over time.

4.8.8. Knot

Knot were recorded in highly variable numbers in four subsites (00411 (St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow), 00413 (Saint Kiernans to Newtown), 00416 (Kiltra) and 00418 (Bannow island to Newquay), consistent with the results from the previous winter surveys. On balance, 00416 and 00418 supported the main numbers.

The December site total count of 542 individuals represents numbers of national importance. The calculated site trend suggests relative stability in numbers over the period 2013/14 to 2021/22, however the I-WeBS trend is for moderate decline. The national trend is for decline (Burke *et al.*, 2018; Kennedy *et al.*, 2022) and the species is red-listed as a wintering species (Gilbert *et al.*, 2021).

4.8.9. Dunlin

Dunlin have consistently been distributed across five subsites during all of the previous eight winter surveys (00411, 00413, 00416, 00417 and 00418). The same distribution was apparent during winter 2021/22 although a single count of a small number of Dunlin (5) was also made for 00489 (Pollfur). Four subsites held peak numbers during low tide counts of winter 2021/22 – 00411, 00413, 00416 and 00418, with no one subsite appearing to be favoured.

0O417 (Clonmines Castle) and 0O418 (Bannow island to Newquay) held all Dunlin recorded during the high tide survey, with 62% (1.327 individuals) within 0O418.

Both the high tide site count, and the peak low tide site count represents numbers of national importance. While the calculated site trend suggests a small decline, the I-WeBS trend is for a large decline in numbers at the site. The species has been in long term decline in Ireland (Lewis *et al.*, 2019; Kennedy *et al.*, 2022) and is consequently red-listed as a wintering species (Gilbert *et al.*, 2021).

4.8.10. Black-tailed Godwit

Black-tailed Godwits were recorded in seven of the eight count subsites during winter 2021/22 (not in 00410). Four subsites held peak numbers during low tide surveys (00411, 00413, 00416 and 00418), although subsite preference remains relatively consistent in that 00413 (Saint Kiernans to Newtown), and 00416 (Kiltra) supported the largest numbers most frequently.

The site total count of 637 individuals was less than half the peak counted recorded during winter 2020/21 but represents numbers of national importance. Total numbers over the years have been highly variable and our calculated site trend suggest stable numbers, but the 'official; site trend through I-WeBS suggests a moderate decline in the site population. Nationally, Black-tailed Godwits are one of only a few wading bird species showing a trend for increasing numbers (Lewis *et al.*, 2019; Kennedy *et al.*, 2022).

4.8.11. Bar-tailed Godwit

Bar-tailed Godwits occurred in six subsites during winter 2020/21: (00411 (St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow), 00413 (Saint Kiernans to Newtown), 00416 (Kiltra), 00417 (Clonmines Castle), 00418 (Bannow Island to Newquay) and 00487 (Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge). This latter subsite held only one individual on one low tide count, however. The distribution overall is highly consistent with previous surveys. 00413 (Saint Kiernans to Newtown) and 00416 (Kiltra) held peak numbers during low tide surveys. While three subsites supported this wader during high tide (00411, 00411 and 00417), 70% of birds were in 00417.

Both the peak low tide and the high tide site total counts represent numbers of national importance. Our calculated site trend shows a decline in numbers 2013/14 to 2021/22. This is in agreement with the I-WeBS site trend which suggests stable numbers over the long-term, but a decline in numbers in the past five years. This is consistent with the national trend for decline (Lewis *et al.*, 2019; Kennedy *et al.*, 2022) and Bar-tailed Godwits are red-listed as a wintering species (Gilbert *et al.*, 2021).

4.8.12. Curlew

The Curlew has a widespread distribution across Bannow Bay, occurring in all eight subsites. Despite their widespread nature, the long-term dataset for Bannow Bay has shown a subsite preference over time, with 00416 (Kiltra) and 00411 (St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow) having supported peak numbers on the majority of survey occasions. This pattern remained during winter 2021/22.

The peak low tide count represents numbers of national importance but at 628 individuals, the total site numbers of Curlew have dropped well below previous site peak counts of over 1,000 birds.

The calculated and I-WeBS site trend shows that numbers of Curlew have declined at Bannow Bay. Nationally, the species has been in decline over the long-term (Lewis *et al.*, 2019; Kennedy *et al.*, 2022) while the flyway trend is also for decline (Wetlands International, 2017). This wader is red-listed as a breeding and wintering species (Gilbert *et al.*, 2021).

4.8.13. Redshank

Redshanks were widespread and recorded within all eight subsites during low tide surveys of winter 2021/22. They are known to be a widespread species, but the long-term dataset has shown subsites 0O411 (St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow), 0O413 (St Kiernans to Newtown) and 0O418 (Bannow Island to Newquay) to support the largest numbers. This pattern was again evident during winter 2021/22.

The largest numbers of Redshank during the high tide survey were in 00417 and 00418, supporting a combined total of 52% of all Redshank counted that day.

The February low tide count of 0O413 exceeded the threshold for numbers of national importance, and overall site total counts exceeded the national threshold on all five survey occasions.

The calculated site trend shows that numbers of Redshank are relatively stable at Bannow Bay, but the I-WeBS site trend suggests a decline in numbers. Nationally, while the species has been stable across the long-term (Lewis *et al.*, 2019; Kennedy *et al.*, 2022), the species has declined in numbers over the recent five-year period assessed. This wader is red-listed as a breeding and wintering species (Gilbert *et al.*, 2021).

5. DISCUSSION

The 2021/22 winter waterbird survey programme at Bannow Bay marks the eighth consecutive season that this survey programme has been carried out. This makes Bannow Bay one of the most comprehensively surveyed estuarine sites at low tide in the Republic of Ireland, and together with the baseline survey carried out by NPWS during 2009/10 has enabled important detailed comparisons over time.

While such a long-term dataset can be important in determining trends in species numbers, the dataset has also been invaluable at highlighting species distribution patterns over time. As noted previously, several species continue to show a high degree of within-site fidelity (subsite faithfulness). Even species that are known to be widely distributed across estuarine systems, such as Redshank and Curlew, do in fact exhibit a level of subsite preference that is discernible over time. Of note is that Shelduck has a very high degree of within-site fidelity to subsite 00418 (Bannow Island to Newquay) and this extends to both low and high tide periods. Good consistency in subsite use has also been shown for Lapwing, Dunlin and the widely distributed Oystercatcher. These patterns are important because such a high degree of within-site faithfulness is relevant in terms of site management (i.e. any future changes in the human use or habitat quality of these subsites could potentially lead to displacement of a large proportion of the wintering population of Bannow Bay with associated implications for species conservation objectives). Given that such patterns can only become discernible over time, these results also highlight how short-term studies, or one-winter studies as often used for Appropriate Assessment, cannot collect adequate data to understand a species distribution. This highlights the value of the long-term monitoring at Bannow Bay, which although occurs in relation to aquaculture, is also extremely important for overall site management.

The overall trend in total waterbird numbers at the site during the low tide period indicates a decline. Total numbers during the high tide period however, are highly variable, with no discernible trend. Updated site trends were published by the I-WeBS Office in spring 2022, although trends are only available for seven species. Of these, six species are shown to be in decline, and this is largely consistent with our calculated site trends using data from the low-tide survey programme.

Updated national trends for 35 waterbird species were published in spring 2022 (Kennedy *et al.*, 2022). Overall, 57% of the species are in decline; 43% are stable/increasing. The largest declines are for diving ducks (Goldeneye, Pochard and Scaup) as well as three wading birds (Lapwing, Grey Plover and Golden Plover). Dunlin and Curlew are exhibiting moderate declines over the long-term period.

A notable result at Bannow Bay has been the loss of the once consistent Golden Plover flock within 0O416 (Kiltra). This flock has been absent from this area now for two consecutive winters. Also of note is the drop in waterbird numbers now apparent for subsites 0O413, 0O416 and 0O417; these being the mid estuarine and upper subsites. This may be linked to the presence of a White-tailed Eagle in the Kiltra subsite during winters of 2018-19 and 2019-20, which resided in the area and may have deterred the use of parts of the estuary by species seeking a safe roosting location, such as the formerly-regular Golden Plover flock. Continued monitoring is therefore essential to determine whether such negative trends persist into the future.

Declines in waterbird populations nationally obviously have implications for numbers at individual sites, but conversely, declines at individual sites across the country will have driven the observed national trends. While the impacts of climate change are now evident (Pavon-Jordán *et al.*, 2018), with some waterbirds simply not migrating as far as Ireland for winter, site-level factors no doubt have,

and continue to contribute to such observed trends, especially when various activities and human use of wetland sites are considered in a cumulative way. How such declines can be addressed and/or reversed is not known. Clearly, waterbirds are in decline at Bannow Bay so it may be timely to reassess site-based factors. Benthic data for the site are now old and out-of-date, and the distribution and abundance of benthic macroinvertebrates, critical to the over-winter survival of waterbirds, may also be experiencing pressures from a variety of sources, including climate change. Any increase in the level of aquaculture at the site may also not be in line with the conservation objectives for Bannow Bay, which need to reverse the negative trends in waterbird numbers.

6. **REFERENCES**

- AEWA (2018) AEWA Conservation Status Review 7 (CSR7) Report on the conservation status of migratory waterbirds in the agreement area. Seventh Edition. Agreement on the Conservation of African- Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds. May 2018.
- ASU (2010) *A survey of mudflats and sandflats in Ireland. An intertidal soft sediment survey of Bannow Bay.* Report commissioned by the Marine Institute by The Aquatic Services Unit. April 2010.
- Austin, G.E., Calbrade, N.A., Mellan, H.J., Musgrove, A. J., Hearn, R.D., Stroud, D.A., Wotton, S. R. & Holt, C.A. (2014) Waterbirds in the UK 2012/13: The Wetland Bird Survey. BTO, RSPB and JNCC, in association with WWT. British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford.
- Balmer, D., Gillings, S., Caffrey, B., Swan, B., Downie, I. & Fuller, R. (2013) Bird Atlas 2007-11 *The breeding and wintering birds of Britain and Ireland*. British Trust for Ornithology.
- Béchet, A. (2006) *European Management Plan 2009-2011. Golden Plover* Pluvialis apricaria. Technical Report 2009 034.
- Bibby, C. J., Burgess, N. D., Hill, D. A. & Mustoe, S. H. (2000). Bird Census Techniques. Academic Press.
- BirdLife International (2001) Important Bird Areas and potential Ramsar Sites in Europe. BirdLife International, Wageningen, the Netherlands.
- Boland, H. & Crowe, O. (2012) Irish wetland bird survey: waterbird status and distribution 2001/02 2008/09. BirdWatch Ireland, Kilcoole, Co. Wicklow.
- Burke, B., Lewis, L. J., Fitzgerald, N., Frost, T., Austin, G. & Tierney, T. D. (2018) Estimates of waterbird numbers wintering in Ireland, 2011/12 2015/16. *Irish Birds* **11:** 1-12.
- Buxton, N. E. (1981) The importance of food in the determination of the winter flock sites of Shelduck. *Wildfowl* **32**: 79-87.
- Colhoun, K. & Cummins, S. (2013) Birds of conservation concern in Ireland 2014-2019. *Irish Birds* **9**: 523-544.
- Colhoun, K., Mackie, K., Gudmundsson, G.A. & McElwaine, G. (2017) Results of the Canadian Lightbellied Brent Goose Census. *Goose News* **16**: 23.
- Crowe, O. (2005) *Ireland's Wetlands and their waterbirds:* Status and Distribution. BirdWatch Ireland. Newcastle, Co Wicklow.
- Crowe, O. & Holt, C. (2013) Estimates of waterbird numbers wintering in Ireland 2006/07 2010/11. *Irish Birds* **9**: 545-552.
- Crowe, O., Austin, G, E., Colhoun, K., Cranswick, P., Kershaw, M. & Musgrove, A. J. (2008) Estimates and trends of waterbird numbers wintering in Ireland, 1994/95-2003/04. *Bird Study* **55**: 66-77.
- DoEHLG (2009) Shellfish Pollution Reduction Programme. As required by Article 5 of the Shellfish Water
 Directive 2006/113/EC and Section 6 of the Quality of Shellfish Waters Regulations, 2006 (S.I.
 No. 268 of 2006). Characterisation Report Number 11. Bannow Bay Shellfish Area. County
 Wexford Department of the Environment, Health and Local Government.
- Frost, T.M., Austin, G.E., Calbrade, N.A., Holt, C.A., Mellan, H.J., Hearn, R.D., Stroud, D.A., Wotton, S.R.
 & Balmer, D.E. (2016) Waterbirds in the UK 2014/15: The Wetland Bird Survey. BTO, RSPB and JNCC, in association with WWT. British Trust for Ornithology, Thetford.
- Gilbert, G., Stanbury, A. & Lewis, L.J. (2021) Birds of conservation concern in Ireland 4 2020 2026. *Irish Birds* **43**: 1-22.

- Kennedy, J., Burke, B., Fitzgerald, N., Kelly, S.B.A., Walsh, A.J. & Lewis, L.J. (2022) Irish Wetland Bird Survey: I-WeBS National and Site Trends Report 1994/95 – 2019/20. BirdWatch Ireland Waterbird Report to the National Parks and Wildlife Service. BirdWatch Ireland, Wicklow. (https://birdwatchireland.ie/app/uploads/2022/04/iwebs trends report.html)
- Lewis, L. J. & Kelly, T. C. (2012) Aspects of the spatial ecology of waders along an estuarine gradient. *Irish Birds* **9**: 375-384.
- Lewis L. J. & Tierney, T. D. (2014) *Low tide waterbird surveys: survey methods and guidance notes. Irish Wildlife Manuals* No. 80. National Parks & Wildlife Service, Department of the Arts, Heritage and Gaeltacht.
- Lewis, J. L., Tierney, N., Boland, H. & Tierney, T. D. (2016) Tidal variation in the use of Dublin Bay by wintering waterbirds. *Irish Birds* **10**: 373 382.
- Lewis, L. J. Austin, G., Boland, H., Frost, T., Crowe, O. & Tierney, T. D. 2017. Waterbird populations on nonestuarine coasts of Ireland: results of the 2015/16 Non-Estuarine Coastal Waterbird Survey (NEWS-III). *Irish Birds* 10: 511-522.
- Lewis, L. J., Burke, B., Fitzgerald, N., Tierney, T. D. & Kelly, S. (2019) Irish Wetland Bird Survey: Waterbird Status and Distribution 2009/10 - 2015/16. Irish Wildlife Manuals No. 106. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Culture, Heritage and the Gaeltacht, Ireland.
- Met Éireann (2022) Weather statement February 2022.
- Musgrove, A J, Langston, R H W, Baker, H and Ward, R M (eds) (2003) *Estuarine Waterbirds at Low Tide: the WeBS Low Tide Counts 1992/93 to 1998/99*. WSG/BTO/WWT/RSPB/JNCC, Thetford.
- NPWS (2011) *Bannow Bay Sac (Site Code 0697) Conservation Objectives Supporting Document.* Version 1. November 2011.
- NPWS (2012) Bannow Bay Special Protection Area. Site Code 4033. Conservation Objectives Supporting Document. Version 1. February 2012. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Department of Arts, Heritage and the Gaeltacht.
- NPWS (2013) *The Status of EU Protected Habitats and Species in Ireland. Overview Volume 1*. Unpublished report, National Parks & Wildlife Service. Department of the Environment, Heritage and Local Government, Ireland.
- Oudman, T. (2017). *Red knot habits: An optimal foraging perspective on tidal life at Banc d'Arguin*. Rijksuniversiteit Groningen.
- Oudman, T., Piersma, T., Ahmedou Salem, M., reis, M., Dekinga, A., Holthuijsen, Horn, J., van Gils, J. & Bijleveld, A. (2018) Resource landscapes explain contrasting patterns of aggregation and site fidelity by red knots at two wintering sites. *Movement Ecology* 6: 24. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40462-018-0142-4
- Pavon-Jordán, D., Clausen, P., Dagys, M., Devos, K., Encarnacao, V., Fox, A. D., Frost, T., Guadard, C., Hornman, M., Keller, V., Langendoen, T., Tawocki, T., Lewis, L. J., Lorentsen, S-H., Luigujoe, L., Meissner, W., Molina, B., Musil, P., Musilova, Z., Nilsson, L., Paquet, J-Y., Ridzon, J., stipniece, A., Teufelbauer, N., Wahl, J., Zentatello, M & Lehikoinen, A. (2018) Habitat- and speciesmediated short- and long-term distributional changes in waterbird abundance linked to variation in European winter weather. *Diversity & Distributions* https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.12855.
- Robinson, J. A., Colhoun, K., Gudmundsson, G. A., Boertmann, D., Merne, O. J., O'Briain, M., Portig, A. A., Mackie, K. & Boyd, H. (2004) *Light-bellied Brent Goose Branta bernicla hrota (East*

Canadian High Arctic population) in Canada, Ireland, Iceland, France, Greenland, Scotland, Wales, England, the Channel Islands and Spain 1960/61 – 1999/2000. Waterbird Review Series. The Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust/Joint Nature Conservation Committee, Slimbridge.

- Wernham, C.V., Toms, M.P., Marchant, J.H., Clark, J.A., Sirwardena, G.M. & Baillie, S.R. (eds) (2002) *The migration atlas: movements of the birds of Britain and Ireland.* T & A D Poyser, London.
- Wetlands International (2012) Waterfowl Population Estimates Fifth Edition. Wetlands International, Wageningen, The Netherlands. Wetlands International (2017) Flyway trend analyses based on data from the African-Eurasian Waterbird Census from the period of 1967-2015. Ede, The Netherlands: Wetlands International. URL: http://iwc.wetlands.org/index.php/aewatrends

APPENDIX A: BANNOW BAY SPA AND BANNOW BAY SAC SITE SYNOPSES

Site Name: Bannow Bay SPA

Site Code: 004033

Bannow Bay is a large, very sheltered, estuarine system with a narrow outlet to the sea, situated on the south coast of Co. Wexford. It is up to 14 km long along its north-east/south-west axis and has an average width of about 2 km. A number of small- to medium-sized rivers flow into the site, the principal being the Owenduff and the Corock which enter at the top end of the estuary. Very extensive intertidal mud and sand flats are exposed at low tide. The sediments have a rich macroinvertebrate fauna, with such species as Peppery Furrow-shell (*Scrobicularia plana*), Ragworm (*Hediste diversicolor*) and Lugworm (*Arenicola arenaria*) occurring frequently. Mats of green algae (*Ulva* spp.) are present on the intertidal flats and shorelines. Salt marshes are well-developed in the sheltered areas of the site and are characterised by species such as Common Saltmarsh-grass (*Puccinellia maritima*), Sea Aster (*Aster tripolium*), Thrift (*Armeria maritima*), Sea Plantain (*Plantago maritima*), Red Fescue (*Festuca rubra*), Saltmarsh Rush (*Juncus gerardi*) and Sea Rush (*Juncus maritimus*). Swards of Glasswort (*Salicornia* spp.) occur on the lower zones of the salt marshes and extend onto the intertidal flats.

The site is a Special Protection Area (SPA) under the E.U. Birds Directive, of special conservation interest for the following species: Light-bellied Brent Goose, Shelduck, Pintail, Oystercatcher, Golden Plover, Grey Plover, Lapwing, Knot, Dunlin, Black-tailed Godwit, Bar-tailed Godwit, Curlew and Redshank. The E.U. Birds Directive pays particular attention to wetlands and, as these form part of this SPA, the site and its associated waterbirds are of special conservation interest for Wetland & Waterbirds.

Bannow Bay supports an excellent diversity of wintering waterfowl and is one of the most important sites in the south-east. Of particular note is an internationally important population of Light-bellied Brent Goose (561) and Black-tailed Godwit (546) - all figures are mean peaks for the 5 winters 1995/96-1999/2000. The site also supports nationally important numbers of a further eleven species: Shelduck (500), Pintail (52), Oystercatcher (711), Golden Plover (1,955), Grey Plover (142), Lapwing (2,950), Knot (508), Dunlin (3,038), Bar-tailed Godwit (471), Curlew (891) and Redshank (377). The populations of Shelduck and Bar-tailed Godwit are of particular note as they comprise 3.4% and 3.0% of the respective all-Ireland totals. Other species which occur in numbers of regional importance include Wigeon (412), Teal (256), Ringed Plover (38) and Turnstone (50). The intertidal sand and mud flats provide excellent feeding for the waterfowl species, while suitable high tide roosts are provided by the salt marshes and other shoreline habitats. Part of the site is a Wildfowl Sanctuary.

Bannow Bay SPA is an excellent example of an enclosed estuarine system. It supports internationally important populations of Light-bellied Brent Goose and Black-tailed Godwit as well as nationally important populations of a further eleven species. Two of the species that occur, i.e. Golden Plover and Bar-tailed Godwit, are listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive.

Site Name: Bannow Bay

SAC Site Code: 000697

Bannow Bay SAC is a relatively large estuarine site, approximately 14 km long, on the south coast of Co. Wexford. Small rivers and streams to the north and south-west flow into the bay and their sub-estuaries from part of the site. The bay contains large areas of mud and sand, and the underlying geology is mainly of Ordovician slates with the exception of the areas to the east of Bannow Island which are underlain by Cambrian slates.

The site is a Special Area of Conservation (SAC) selected for the following habitats and/or species listed on Annex I / II of the E.U. Habitats Directive (* = priority; numbers in brackets are Natura 2000 codes):

[1130] Estuaries
[1140] Tidal Mudflats and Sandflats
[1210] Annual Vegetation of Drift Lines
[1220] Perennial Vegetation of Stony Banks
[1310] Salicornia Mud
[1330] Atlantic Salt Meadows
[1410] Mediterranean Salt Meadows
[1420] Halophilous Scrub
[2110] Embryonic Shifting Dunes
[2120] Marram Dunes (White Dunes)
[2130] Fixed Dunes (Grey Dunes)*

The estuary, including the saltmarshes, makes up just over 80% of the site. At low tide up to three-quarters of the substrate is exposed. There are mudflats in the narrow northern part and also in the south-west and southeast. The sediments of the inner estuary associated with the Corock and Owenduff Rivers are generally black anoxic mud, with some fine sand and broken shell. Mats of green algae (*Enteromorpha* spp.) are present and seaweeds (*Fucus* spp.) have colonised stony substrates, particularly further south.

Saltmarshes of exceptional species diversity are found above the sand and mudflats, particularly at the south of the site. Communities associated with cord-grass (*Spartina* sp.) and glassworts (*Salicornia* spp.) occur in the saltmarsh and on its fringes. A diverse range of glassworts has been recorded, including *Salicornia pusilla, S. ramosissima, S. europaea, S. fragilis* and *S. dolichostachya*.

The main areas of saltmarsh are on the islands at Clonmines, at the mouth of the tributary at Clonmines, at the mouth of the tributary at Taulaght, close to Saint Kieran's House, at the north-west of Big Burrow, at the southeast of Bannow Island and at the west of Rabbit Burrow in Fethard Bay. Very small fragmented linear strips of saltmarsh occur in the upper estuary as far north as the confluence of the Corock and Owenduff Rivers and along the other tributaries. The main type of saltmarsh present is Atlantic salt meadow, although the Mediterranean type is also found. Typical species of the former include Common Saltmarsh-grass (*Puccinellia maritima*), Sea Aster (*Aster tripolium*), Thrift (*Armeria maritima*), Sea Plantain (*Plantago maritima*), Red Fescue (*Festuca rubra*), Creeping Bent (*Agrostis stolonifera*), Saltmarsh Rush (*Juncus gerardi*), Sea Arrowgrass (*Triglochin maritima*) and Sea Beet (*Beta vulgaris subsp. maritima*). An abundance of Sea Purslane (*Halimione portulacoides*) is found in Fethard and in part of the Taulaght saltmarshes. In the larger areas of saltmarsh Sea Rush (*Juncus maritimus*), a species more typical of Mediterranean salt meadows, is found. Other plants recorded are Lax-flowered Sealavender (*Limonium humile*) and Common Scurvygrass (*Cochlearia officinalis*). Good conditions for the community 'annual vegetation of drift lines' exist on the seaward side of dune systems at this site. Typical species which have been recorded include Sea Rocket (*Cakile maritima*), mayweed (*Matricaria* sp.), Sea Spurge (*Euphorbia paralias*), Sea-holly (*Eryngium maritimum*), orache species (*Atriplex* spp.), *Polygonum* spp. and Sea Beet (*Beta vulgaris* subsp. *maritima*). Areas of habitat which are likely to be suitable for the development of the community 'perennial vegetation of stony banks' exist at this site, but are small in area.

Also linked with saltmarshes in places are stony beaches and reedbeds. Narrow shingle beaches up to 30 m wide occur in places along the edge of the estuary. The fringing reed communities are mainly confined to the tributaries and are relatively small in extent. They support Sea Club-rush (*Scirpus maritimus*), Grey Club-rush (*S. tabernaemontani*), Hemlock Water-dropwort (*Oenanthe* crocata) and abundant Common Reed (*Phragmites australis*). Halophilous scrub occurs in four of the larger saltmarsh areas. It is characterised by the presence of the legally protected (Flora (Protection) Order, 1999) and Red Data Book-listed plant Perennial Glasswort (*Arthrocnemum perenne*), which occurs in only a few sites in the country.

A mosaic of sand dune habitats occurs in three areas at the edge of the estuary. Embryonic shifting dunes and white dunes are characterised by the presence of Lyme-grass (*Leymus arenarius*), Marram (*Ammophila arenaria*), Sea Spurge and Seaholly in both Big Burrow and to the south east of Bannow Island.

The priority habitat fixed grey dune is also present. Typical species here include Common Bird's-foot-trefoil (*Lotus corniculatus*), Kidney Vetch (*Anthyllis vulneraria*), Wild Thyme (*Thymus praecox*), stork's-bill species (*Erodium* spp.), Ribwort Plantain (*Plantago lanceolata*), Common Restharrow (*Ononis repens*), Mouse-ear Hawkweed (*Hieracium pilosella*), Field Wood-rush (*Luzula campestris*) and Wild Carrot (*Daucus carota*). Some areas of this dune type contain a carpet of the moss Tortula ruraliformis and lichens (*Cladonia* sp.). There is some gorse (*Ulex* sp.) present beside the mossy area at the south-east of the site. Bee Orchid (*Ophrys apifera*) and Pyramidal Orchid (*Anacamptis pyramidalis*) have also been recorded. Sharp Rush (*Juncus acutus*) occurs in a dune slack associated with the grey dunes at Big Burrow. At the west of the system, east of Bannow Island, the dunes are quite high, reaching almost 15m. Non-native plant species, including Tree Mallow (*Lavatera arborea*), occur in several parts of the site.

Some freshwater habitats occur at the northern end of the site. These consist mainly of a mosaic of marsh, reedbed and willows (*Salix* spp.). Species present include Common Reed, with young willows scattered throughout and Hemlock Waterdropwort abundant in the ground layer. In other areas the wetland vegetation consists of a mosaic of Phragmites reedbed, patches of Hard Rush (*Juncus inflexus*), Meadowsweet (*Filipendula ulmaria*), Creeping Buttercup (*Ranunculus repens*), Marsh Bedstraw (*Galium palustre*), Greater Tussock-sedge (*Carex paniculata*), Marshmarigold (*Caltha palustris*) and occasional Bulrush (*Typha latifolia*), along some old drains. The wetland areas generally merge into a narrow band of dense scrub dominated by Blackthorn (*Prunus spinosa*) and Hawthorn (*Crataegus monogyna*), with some Ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*), willow and gorse.

Most of the estuary has been designated a Special Protection Area (SPA) under the E.U. Birds Directive because of its significant bird interest, particularly during the winter. Parts of this area have also been designated a Wildfowl Sanctuary. Large numbers of wintering wildfowl and waders feed on the mudflats and sandflats and use the fringing vegetation of reedbed and saltmarsh for roosting and feeding. Populations present include internationally important numbers of Light-bellied Brent Goose (819), and nationally important numbers of Shelduck (475), Pintail (85), Golden Plover (3,144) - a species listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive, Lapwing (2,000), Knot (508), Dunlin (3,850), Black-tailed Godwit (697), Bar-tailed Godwit (334) and Redshank (377) (all figures mean peaks 1994/95 to 1997/98).

Important breeding populations found within the site include two species listed on Annex I of the E.U. Birds Directive (Little Tern and Kingfisher), a colony of Sand Martins in the cliffs at the west of the site and a heronry

Otter and Common Seal occur within the site.

Land use at the site consists mainly of shellfish farming; approximately 20 ha of the intertidal area is under cultivation. Current annual production of oysters is approximately 100 tonnes, concentrated mainly on three farms. There are other farms, but these are in the initial stages of cultivation and current production is negligible. There is evidence of poor farm management in some locations. There are numerous abandoned trestles in the intertidal zone and along the top of the shore. Grading equipment is permanently left on the shore and some areas of saltmarsh are being used as a grading area for oysters. In some areas damage is caused to the shingle vegetation and to the substrate by tractors accessing the aquaculture farms. Any further increase in aquaculture poses a threat.

Other land uses include shooting, birdwatching, conservation management, grazing in some of the dune areas, horse-riding on the beach and Big Burrow sand dunes, picnicing, swimming, sailboarding, jet-skiing, line fishing and bait digging. The removal of sand and beach material also occurs at the site.

The site is of considerable conservation significance for the large number of E.U. Habitats Directive Annex I habitats that it contains, including the priority habitat fixed grey dune. The legally protected and Red Data Book plant species Perennial Glasswort also occurs. The site is also an SPA because of the important numbers of wintering wildfowl it supports, including an internationally important population of Light-bellied Brent Goose.

APPENDIX B: MONTHLY SUBSITE COUNT DATA

Subsite	Subsite name	Species name	LT1	LT2	LT3	LT4	HT1
00410	Fethard Bay	Mute Swan				2	
00417	Clonmines Castle	Whooper Swan	29				
00410	Fethard Bay		12	15	129	212	
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		134	188	275	239	71
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Light halliad Brant Caasa	109	154	212	65	
00416	Kiltra	Light-bellied Brent Goose	21	9			336
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay			60	42	14	8
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge		28	70	10		
00410	Fethard Bay					2	
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		2		47	96	48
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		2	8	139	5	
00416	Kiltra	Shelduck	3	11	56	39	7
00417	Clonmines Castle				3	12	5
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		4	115	184	23	202
00489	Pollfur						3
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow			4	25		34
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown			65	45	56	32
00416	Kiltra		86	67	8	4	699
00417	Clonmines Castle	Wigeon			256	35	27
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay				16		7
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge			4	2		
00489	Pollfur						40
00410	Fethard Bay				51	41	
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow			10	41	10	53
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		44	86	10	32	10
00416	Kiltra	Teal		7			14
00417	Clonmines Castle	icai		50	40	3	
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay				4	2	
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge			120	113	40	86
00489	Pollfur		70		5		136
00410	Fethard Bay		95	90	100	85	74
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow				5		
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown				2	7	
00416	Kiltra	Mallard		141	68	2	284
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay]			3		
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge]	2	6	2	2	
00489	Pollfur		9		26		5
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay	Pintail			5		
00416	Kiltra	Goldeneye					3
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow			5	1		12
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Red-breasted Merganser		3	18	3	19
00416	Kiltra	Red-breasted Merganser					3
00417	Clonmines Castle						2

Subsite	Subsite name	Species name	LT1	LT2	LT3	LT4	HT1
00410	Fethard Bay	Red-throated Diver	12		18	3	11
00410	Fethard Bay		2	2	3	2	5
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow	Great Northern Diver	2		1		1
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown					1	
00410	Fethard Bay		3	1	4		2
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		4	2			7
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Little Grebe					3
00417	Clonmines Castle				1		3
00410	Fethard Bay	Creat Created Creater			1		2
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Great Crested Grebe	1				
00410	Fethard Bay		2		4		9
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		78	68	45	13	125
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		1	5	3	5	2
00416	Kiltra	Cormorant	1		5	2	
00417	Clonmines Castle				-	1	
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay						2
00410	Fethard Bay		1	6	6		1
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow	Shag		10	25		11
00410	Fethard Bay		1	3	2		3
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		4	5	5	2	4
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		19	10	6	10	2
00416	Kiltra		4	4	4	2	
00417	Clonmines Castle	Little Egret	1			1	3
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		2	11	2	6	2
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge			8		2	
00489	Pollfur		10		1	1	
00410	Fethard Bay			3	2		1
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		4	2	1		3
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		3	4	5	7	
00416	Kiltra	Grey Heron	1	1			6
00417	Clonmines Castle	dicyneidi		1		1	
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay			1			
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge		1		2		
00489	Pollfur		2				
00410	Fethard Bay		25	20	26	9	50
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		36	39	44	21	55
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		106	58	162	69	36
00416	Kiltra	Oystercatcher	160	306	112	93	36
00417	Clonmines Castle	Oystercatcher	23	5	4		114
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		120	247	304	35	119
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge			2		2	
00489	Pollfur		5	1			1
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow				20	30	
00416	Kiltra	Ringed Plover			2		
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay						12
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow	Golden Plover		5			
00417	Clonmines Castle			25			1600

Subsite	Subsite name	Species name	LT1	LT2	LT3	LT4	HT1
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		342		4		
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		7	22	35	16	
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Crev Player	1		6		
00417	Clonmines Castle	Grey Plover		3			
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay			2	7	5	46
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow			5	81		160
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		2	45	44	4	114
00416	Kiltra		69	40	218		50
00417	Clonmines Castle	Lapwing	170	341	185		2105
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		75		27		255
00489	Pollfur				150		330
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow				20	2	
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		65			1	
00416	Kiltra	Knot	77			300	
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay			5	522		
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		163	847	386	540	
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		850	242			
00416	Kiltra		176	758	813	500	
00417	Clonmines Castle	Dunlin	380		25		830
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		156	405	161	580	1327
00489	Pollfur				5		
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay				4		
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge	Snipe					2
00489	Pollfur			4	5	1	
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		26	46	294	21	18
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		178	92	181	56	24
00416	Kiltra	Black-tailed Godwit	62	156	78	133	
00417	Clonmines Castle		69	6	13	14	42
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay			107	65	188	50
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge		10	4	6	15	40
00489	Pollfur						10
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		22	24	15		45
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		28	30	81	94	9
00416	Kiltra	Por tailed Codwit	54	2	96		
00417	Clonmines Castle	Bar-tailed Godwit		2			130
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay			3	15		
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge				1		
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Whimbrel				1	
00410	Fethard Bay		11	9	3	3	2
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		170	231	95	37	39
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		37	62	25	35	3
00416	Kiltra	Curlow	120	183	85	129	
00417	Clonmines Castle	Curlew	10	21	43	13	87
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		29	37	10	28	3
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge	-	11	20	7	13	124
00489	Pollfur		13	65	10	5	31
00410	Fethard Bay	Greenshank		1		1	3

Subsite	Subsite name	Species name	LT1	LT2	LT3	LT4	HT1
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		2	4	3	2	6
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		4	-	2	7	1
00416	Kiltra		2	2	1	5	
00417	Clonmines Castle	Greenshank	1		1	3	
00418	Bannow Island to Newguay		- 1	1	2	6	1
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge		1	2	-	•	-
00489	Pollfur		-	-	2	2	3
00410	Fethard Bay		17	15	20	9	6
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		66	82	47	58	89
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		38	33	48	258	56
00416	Kiltra		45	63	72	77	2
00417	Clonmines Castle	Redshank	12	18	17	5	111
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		42	104	82	134	100
00410	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge	•	11	104	27	154	15
00489	Pollfur	-	20	4	30	10	27
00489	Fethard Bay		20	8	50	10	5
00410	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow	-	15	2	11	1	2
00411	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Turnstone	15	2	2	1	2
00413	Kiltra	Turnstone			1	1	
00410	Bannow Island to Newquay				1		
00418	Fethard Bay	Mediterranean Gull			1	2	
00410	•		FO	73	470	20	35
00410	Fethard Bay	Black-headed Gull	50 208	494		32	35 46
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow Saint Kiernans to Newtown		475	494 181	1184 563	271	40 31
00413	Kiltra		346	904		405	48
00418	Clonmines Castle		420	904 166	1827 126	405 176	48 65
00417			420 155	81	126		204
	Bannow Island to Newquay Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge				-	28 15	204 97
0O487 0O489	Pollfur		110	70	40	12	85
	Fethard Bay		50	40	30		20
00410				10			
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		14	35 3	3 8	4	56
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Common Cull	14		-	4	2
00416	Kiltra	Common Gull	12	11	11		42
00417	Clonmines Castle	•	42	60	47		43
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay	-	12	69	17		32
00489	Pollfur			2			1
00410	Fethard Bay	4	22	2			
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		33	3	2	2	11
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Lesser Black-backed Gull		4	2	2	
00416	Kiltra					2	
00417	Clonmines Castle			3	4.95	31	
00410	Fethard Bay		59	61	135	51	32
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		69	53	39	24	2
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown	Herring Gull	55	37	4	33	1
00416	Kiltra		105	3	2	10	
00417	Clonmines Castle		25		1	270	13
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay		125	11	1	4	

Subsite	Subsite name	Species name	LT1	LT2	LT3	LT4	HT1
00487	Tintern Abbey to Tintern Bridge		1			5	
00410	Fethard Bay		6	19	45	4	21
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow		21	15	6	1	29
00413	Saint Kiernans to Newtown		5	3	6	6	
00416	Kiltra	Great Black-backed Gull	4	6	2	4	
00417	Clonmines Castle		3	5		3	
00418	Bannow Island to Newquay			2			
00489	Pollfur			1			
00411	St Kiernans to Saltmills to Big Burrow	Unidentified gull			100		

Further details available on www.emff.marine.ie

Managing Authority EMFF 2014-2020	Specified Public Beneficiary Body
Department of Agriculture Food & the Marine	Marine Institute
Clogheen, Clonakilty, Co. Cork. P85 TX47	Rinville, Oranmore, Co. Galway, H91 R673
Tel: (+)353 (0)23 885 9500	Phone: (+)353 (0)91 38 7200
www.agriculture.gov.ie/emff	www.marine.ie

This project or operation is part supported by the Irish government and the European Maritime & Fisheries Fund as part of the EMFF Operational Programme for 2014-2020





An Roinn Talmhaíochta, Bia agus Mara Department of Agriculture, Food and the Marine



EUROPEAN UNION This measure is part-financed by the European Maritime and Fisheries Fund



Foras na Mara Marine Institute